arXiv:1212.6878v1 [math.AT] 31 Dec 2012

# HOLOMORPHIC BUNDLES ON THE BLOWN-UP PLANE AND THE BAR CONSTRUCTION

JOÃO PAULO SANTOS

Abstract. Let $X_q$ denote a simply connected positive definite four-manifold with $b_2 = q$, and let $\mathfrak{M}X_q$ denote the rank-stable moduli space of based instantons on $X_q$. We show that, for the $c_2 = 1, 2$ components, we have homotopy equivalences $\mathfrak{M}(X_p \# X_q) \simeq \mathrm{Bar}(\mathfrak{M}X_p, \mathfrak{M}S^4, \mathfrak{M}X_q)$ and $\mathfrak{M}X_q \simeq \mathrm{Bar}\big(\mathfrak{M}S^4, (\mathfrak{M}S^4)^{\times q}, (\mathfrak{M}\mathbb{P}^2)^{\times q}\big)$. We also prove an analogous result in the limit when $k \to \infty$.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we will study the moduli space of holomorphic bundles over a rational surface with vanishing first Chern class, trivialized on a rational curve, in the limit when the rank of the bundles goes to infinity. Through the Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence, this space is isomorphic to the moduli space of based instantons over a positive definite simply connected closed four-manifold (see [2], [9]), and has been studied in [7], [1], [12].

Let $\mathbb{P}^2 = \mathbb{C}^2 \cup \mathbb{P}^1$ be the complex projective plane seen as a compactification of $\mathbb{C}^2$. Denote by $L_\infty$ the rational curve at infinity. Given a finite subset $I \subset \mathbb{C}^2$, let $\mathbb{P}^2_I$ be the blowup of $\mathbb{P}^2$ along $I$. Let $\mathfrak{M}^r_I$ be the moduli space of rank $r$ holomorphic bundles on $\mathbb{P}^2_I$ with first Chern class $c_1(E) = 0$, trivialized at $\mathbb{P}^1$. Direct sum with a trivial rank $r' - r$ bundle induces a map $\mathfrak{M}^r_I \to \mathfrak{M}^{r'}_I$. Let $\mathfrak{M}_I$ be the direct limit when $r \to \infty$. In [7], [11], [1] it was shown that $\mathfrak{M}^\infty_\emptyset \simeq \coprod BU(k)$ and, for each $x \in \mathbb{C}^2$, $\mathfrak{M}^\infty_x \simeq \coprod BU(k) \times BU(k)$. For $J \subset I$, pullback of bundles induces a map $\pi^*_{J,I} : \mathfrak{M}_J \to \mathfrak{M}_I$. Combining with Whitney sum we get maps $\mathfrak{M}_\emptyset \times \mathfrak{M}_x \to \mathfrak{M}_x$. Let

$$\mathcal{B}_I = Bar\left( \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset\, , \prod_{x\in I} \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset\, , \prod_{x \in I} \mathfrak{M}_x \right) \tag{1}$$

where the map $\mathfrak{M}_\emptyset \times \prod \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset \to \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset$ is given by Whitney sum. Pullback and Whitney sum give maps

$$\mathfrak{M}_\emptyset \to \mathfrak{M}_I \qquad \prod_{x\in I} \mathfrak{M}_x \to \prod_{x\in I} \mathfrak{M}_I \to \mathfrak{M}_I$$

which induce a map

$$h_I : \mathcal{B}_I \to \mathfrak{M}_I \tag{2}$$

The second Chern class of the bundles gives a grading of the spaces $\mathfrak{M}_I, \mathcal{B}_I$ and we write $\mathfrak{M}_{I,k}, \mathcal{B}_{I,k}, h_{I,k}$ for the degree $k$ components. Our first result is

**Theorem 1.** *If, for every $J \subset I$ with $\#J \leq k$, $h_{J,k}$ is a homotopy equivalence, then $h_{I,k}$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Using Theorem 1 and results from [12] we then show that

**Theorem 2.** *For $k = 1, 2$, $h_{I,k}$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

For $I \cap J = \emptyset$ we show that $\mathcal{B}_{I \cup J} \simeq Bar(\mathcal{B}_I, \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset, \mathcal{B}_J)$ and hence, for $k = 1, 2$, we conclude that $\mathfrak{M}_{I \cup J,k} \simeq Bar(\mathfrak{M}_I, \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset, \mathfrak{M}_J)_k$.

In [14], Taubes described, for $k' > k$, gluing maps $\mathfrak{M}^r_{I,k} \to \mathfrak{M}^r_{I,k'}$. Taking the limit when $k, r \to \infty$, we get $\mathfrak{M}_{I,\infty} \simeq BU \times \prod_{x \in I} BU$. We will show that

**Theorem 3.** *The map*

$$h_{I,\infty} : \mathcal{B}_{I,\infty} \to \mathfrak{M}_{I,\infty}$$

*is a homotopy equivalence.*

**Conjecture.** *The map $h_I$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

The paper is organized as follows: In §2 we describe the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_I$ as an $E^\infty$-space. In §3 we introduce the operad formalism we will be using in the remainder of the paper. In §4 we apply this formalism to describe the bar construction, the space $\mathcal{B}_I$ and the map $h_I$. In §5 we prove theorem 1. This theorem is a consequence of the following fact (see [12]): $\mathfrak{M}_{I,k}$ is the colimit $\varinjlim \mathfrak{M}_{J,k}$ taken over the subsets $J \subset I$ with $\#J \leq k$. In §6 we use theorem 1 and results from [12] to prove theorem 2. In §7 we prove theorem 3. We make use of some classifying maps $\mathfrak{M}_{I,\infty} \to BU$ described in [13]. In the appendix we gather some results need in section §6. The proofs use the monad descriptions of holomorphic bundles introduced in [4], [6].

## 2. Moduli spaces of holomorphic bundles

We begin with the definition of the moduli space of holomorphic bundles:

**Definition 2.1.** Let $V$ be a complex hermitian vector space with dimension $r$ and let $E \to \mathbb{P}^2_I$ be a rank $r$ smooth complex vector bundle with first Chern class $c_1(E) = 0$. A holomorphic structure on $E$ is a semi-connection $\bar{\partial}_E : \Omega^0(E) \to \Omega^{0,1}(E)$ satisfying the integrability condition $\bar{\partial}_E^2 = 0$. We let $\mathcal{C}(I, E, V)$ be the space of pairs $(\bar{\partial}_E, \phi)$ where $\bar{\partial}_E$ is a holomorphic structure on $E$ holomorphically trivial on $L_\infty$ and $\phi : E|_{L_\infty} \to V \times L_\infty$ is a holomorphic trivialization. We define $\mathfrak{M}(I, E, V) = \mathcal{C}(I, E, V)/Aut(E)$.

**Proposition 2.1** ([8])**.** *The group $Aut(E)$ of smooth bundle automorphisms of $E$ acts freely on $\mathcal{C}(I, E, V)$ and the quotient has the structure of a finite dimensional Hausdorff complex analytic space.*

Observe that

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let $E_1, E_2 \to \mathbb{P}^2_I$ be two isomorphic smooth complex vector bundles. Then there is a canonical isomorphism $\mathfrak{M}(I, E_1, V) \cong \mathfrak{M}(I, E_2, V)$.*

*Proof.* Given an isomorphism $\psi : E_1 \to E_2$ define the map $\psi_* : \mathcal{C}(I, E_1, V) \to \mathcal{C}(I, E_2, V)$ by

$$\psi_*(\bar{\partial}, \phi) = (\psi \circ \bar{\partial} \circ \psi^{-1}, \phi \circ \psi^{-1})$$

This map descends to the quotient to give a homeomorphism $\mathfrak{M}(X, E_1, V) \to \mathfrak{M}(X, E_2, V)$ which is independent of the choice of isomorphism $\psi$. ☐

Since the isomorphism class of $E$ is completely determined by $c_2(E) = k$, we write $\mathfrak{M}(I, E, V) = \mathfrak{M}_k(I, V)$

**Definition 2.2.** We let

$$\mathfrak{M}(I,V)=\coprod_{k=0}^{\infty}\mathfrak{M}_k(I,V)$$

We also define the maps:

(1) The map

$$\omega:\mathcal{C}(I,E_1,V_1)\times\mathcal{C}(I,E_2,V_2)\to\mathcal{C}(I,E_1\oplus E_2,V_1\oplus V_2)$$

defined by $\omega((\bar\partial_1,\phi_1)\times(\bar\partial_2,\phi_2))=(\bar\partial_1\oplus\bar\partial_2,\phi_1\oplus\phi_2)$ descends to the quotient to give a map

$$\omega:\mathfrak{M}(I,V_1)\times\mathfrak{M}(I,V_2)\to\mathfrak{M}(I,V_1\oplus V_2)$$

(2) Let $J\subset I$, let $\pi_{J,I}:\mathbb{P}^2_I\to\mathbb{P}^2_J$ be the blowup of $\mathbb{P}^2_J$ along $I\setminus J$. Then pullback of holomorphic bundles induces a map

$$\pi^*_{J,I}(V):\mathcal{C}(J,E,V)\to\mathcal{C}(I,\pi^*_{J,I}E,V).$$

This map descends to the quotient to give a map

$$\pi^*_{J,I}(V):\mathfrak{M}(J,V)\to\mathfrak{M}(I,V)$$

(3) Let $\alpha:V\to W$ be an isometry. Let $\epsilon_\alpha$ be the trivial bundle over $\mathbb{P}^2_I$ with fiber $\alpha(V)^\perp\subset W$ and denote by $\bar\partial$ the canonical holomorphic structure on $\epsilon_\alpha$. We define the map

$$\mathcal{C}(I,E,\alpha):\mathcal{C}(I,E,V)\to\mathcal{C}(I,E\oplus\epsilon_\alpha,W)$$

by sending $(\bar\partial_E,\phi)$ to $(\bar\partial_E\oplus\bar\partial,(\alpha\circ\phi)\oplus\mathbf{1})$. This map descends to the quotient to give a map $\mathfrak{M}(I,\alpha):\mathfrak{M}(I,V)\to\mathfrak{M}(I,W)$.

**Proposition 2.3.** *The map $\mathfrak{M}(I,\alpha)$ is a closed embedding.*

*Proof.* It follows from the monad description of $\mathfrak{M}(I,V)$ in [3]. □

**Proposition 2.4.** *The assignement $\alpha\mapsto\mathfrak{M}(I,\alpha)$ induces a continuous map betwen the space of isometries $\mathcal{L}(V,W)$ and the space of maps $\mathrm{Map}(\mathfrak{M}(I,V),\mathfrak{M}(I,W))$.*

*Proof.* When $\dim V=\dim W$, $\mathcal{C}(I,E,\alpha)$ is the map $(\bar\partial_E,\phi)\mapsto(\bar\partial_E,\alpha\circ\phi)$ so the result is clear. So we assume $\dim W>\dim V$, fix $\alpha_0\in\mathcal{L}(V,W)$ and show continuity at $\alpha_0$. Let $V_0=\alpha_0(V)^\perp$ and let $\rho:\mathcal{L}(V\oplus V_0,W)\to\mathcal{L}(V,W)$ be the principal bundle map adjoint to the canonical inclusion $V\to V\oplus V_0$. Let $\theta$ be a local section of $\rho$ on a neighbourhood $A$ of $\alpha_0$. Given $\alpha\in A$, the restriction of $\theta(\alpha)$ to $V_0$ gives an isomorphism $\theta(\alpha)|_{V_0}:V_0\to\alpha(V)^\perp$ which induces an isomorphism of holomorphic bundles $\psi_\alpha:E\oplus\epsilon_0\to E\oplus\epsilon_\alpha$. We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{C}(I,E,V) \arrow[r, "\mathcal{C}(I,E,i)"] \arrow[d, "\mathcal{C}(I,E,\alpha)"] & \mathcal{C}(I,E\oplus\epsilon_0,V\oplus V_0) \arrow[d, "\mathcal{C}(I,E\oplus\epsilon_0,\theta(\alpha))"] \\
\mathcal{C}(I,E\oplus\epsilon_\alpha,W) & \mathcal{C}(I,E\oplus\epsilon_0,W) \arrow[l, "\psi_\alpha", "\cong"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence the map $\alpha\mapsto\mathfrak{M}(I,E,\beta)$ is given by the composition

$$\mathcal{L}(V,W)\xrightarrow{\theta}\mathcal{L}(V\oplus V_0,W)\longrightarrow Map(\mathfrak{M}_X(V\oplus V_0),\mathfrak{M}_XW)\xrightarrow{\omega(\cdot,*)^\vee}Map$$

where $\omega(\cdot,*)^\vee$ is the adjoint of the map $\omega(\cdot,*):\mathfrak{M}V\to\mathfrak{M}(V\oplus V_0)$. The result then follows because the middle map is continuous since $\dim(V\oplus V_0)=\dim W$. □

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let* $\mathcal{L}_*$ *denote the graded category whose objects are the finite dimensional complex hermitian vector spaces and whose morphisms are the linear isometries. Let* $\oplus : \mathcal{L}_* \times \mathcal{L}_* \to \mathcal{L}_*$ *be the direct sum functor. Then* $\mathfrak{M}$ *is a continuous functor from* $\mathcal{L}_*$ *to* $Top$ *and* $\omega : \mathfrak{M} \times \mathfrak{M} \to \mathfrak{M} \circ \oplus$ *is a natural transformation satisfying* $\omega(x, *) = x$ *where* $* \in \mathfrak{M}0$ *is the basepoint.*

Using the terminology of [10], the pair $(\mathfrak{M}, \omega)$ is an $\mathcal{L}_*$-functor. We can extend $\mathfrak{M}$ to infinite dimensional vector spaces $W$ by letting $\mathfrak{M}W = \lim\limits_{\rightarrow} \mathfrak{M}V$ where the colimit is taken over the finite dimensional subspaces $V \subset W$.

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let* $\mathcal{L}$ *denote the category whose objects are the finite or countably infinite hermitian vector spaces and whose morphisms are the isometries. Then* $\mathfrak{M}$ *extends to a functor* $\mathfrak{M} : \mathcal{L} \to Top$ *and* $\omega$ *extends to a natural transformation* $\mathfrak{M} \times \mathfrak{M} \to \mathfrak{M} \circ \oplus$

*Proof.* See [10]. □

Now let $\mathbb{H}$ be a countably infinite complex hermitian vector space which we call the universe.

**Definition 2.3.** A universe is a countably infinite complex hermitian vector space. Given a universe $\mathbb{H}$ we define

$$\mathfrak{M}_I^{\mathbb{H}} = \varinjlim \mathfrak{M}(I, V)$$

where the colimit is taken over all finite dimensional subspaces $V \subset \mathbb{H}$.

Let $\mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}$ be the complex linear isometries operad over $\mathbb{H}$. Then $\omega$ passes to the colimit and induces an $\mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}$-space structure on $\mathfrak{M}_I^{\mathbb{H}}$. Furthermore, a morphism of universes $\alpha : \mathbb{H}_1 \to \mathbb{H}_2$ induces a map $\mathfrak{M}_I^{\mathbb{H}_1} \to \mathfrak{M}_I^{\mathbb{H}_2}$ which commutes with $\omega$. An isomorphism $\mathbb{H}_1 \cong \mathbb{H}_2$ of hilbert spaces induces a homeomorphism $\mathfrak{M}_I^{\mathbb{H}_1} \cong \mathfrak{M}_I^{\mathbb{H}_2}$. For $J \subset I$, the map $\pi_i^*(V)$ passes to the colimit to give a map $\mathfrak{M}(i) : \mathfrak{M}(J) \to \mathfrak{M}(I)$

**Proposition 2.7.** $\mathfrak{M}(I)$*,* $\mathfrak{M}(i)$ *define a functor betwen* $\mathfrak{C}$ *and the category of* $\mathscr{L}$*-spaces.*

*Proof.* We easily check that $\pi_{J,I}^*$ is a natural transformation betwen $\mathfrak{M}(J, \cdot)$ and $\mathfrak{M}(I, \cdot)$ which commutes with $\omega$. The result follows. □

## 3. Simplicial constructions with operads

3.1. **Bar construction for $E_\infty$ spaces.** Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an $E_\infty$ operad and let $X$ be an $\mathcal{O}$-space. We will consider actions of $X$ on spaces $X_L, X_R$ mediated by spaces $\mathcal{O}_L(n), \mathcal{O}_R(n)$ of maps $X^n \times X_L \to X_L$ and $X^n \times X_R \to X_R$ respectively. Using these actions we define the bar construction $\|\mathfrak{B}(X_L, X, X_R)\|$. To define maps $\|\mathfrak{B}(X_L, X, X_R) \to Y\|$ to some space $Y$ we also need to introduce spaces $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}(n)$ of maps $X_L \times X^n \times X_R \to Y$.

**Definition 3.1.** An $E_\infty$ operad pair is a pair $(\mathcal{O}_M, \mathcal{O})$ where $\mathcal{O}$ is an $E_\infty$ operad with data

$$\gamma : \mathcal{O}(k) \times \mathcal{O}(j_1) \times \ldots \times \mathcal{O}(j_k) \to \mathcal{O}(j) \quad (j = j_1 + \ldots + j_k)$$

and $\mathcal{O}_M$ is a collection of contractible based spaces $\mathcal{O}_M(n)$ for each $n \geq 0$, together with continuous maps

$$\gamma_M : \mathcal{O}_M(k) \times \mathcal{O}_M(j_0) \times \mathcal{O}(j_1) \times \ldots \times \mathcal{O}(j_k) \to \mathcal{O}_M(j) \quad (j = j_0 + \ldots + j_k)$$

and an identity element $\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{O}_M(0)$ such that $\gamma_M(\mathbf{1}; d) = d$ and $\gamma_M(c; \mathbf{1}, \dots, \mathbf{1}) = c$. Furthermore, $\gamma_M$ satisfies the associativity relation

$$\gamma_M(\gamma_M(c_M; d_M, d_1, \dots, d_k); e_M, e_1, \dots, e_j) = \gamma_M(c_M; f_M, f_1, \dots, f_k)$$

where $f_M = \gamma_M(d_M; e_M, e_1, \dots, e_{j_0})$ and $f_s = \gamma(d_s; e_{j_0+\dots+j_{s-1}+1}, \dots, e_{j_0+\dots+j_s})$ with $f_s = *$ when $j_s = 0$.

An operad 4-tuple is a 4-tuple $(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_R; \widetilde{\mathcal{O}})$ where $(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O})$ and $(\mathcal{O}_R, \mathcal{O})$ are operad pairs and $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is a collection of contractible based spaces $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}(n)$ for each $n \geq 0$, together with continuous maps

$$\widetilde{\gamma} : \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}(k) \times \mathcal{O}_L(j_L) \times \mathcal{O}(j_1) \times \dots \times \mathcal{O}(j_k) \times \mathcal{O}_R(j_R) \to \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}(j) \qquad (j = j_L + j_1 + \dots + j_k + j_R)$$

which satisfy the associativity relation

$$\widetilde{\gamma}(\widetilde{\gamma}(\widetilde{c}; d_L, d_1, \dots, d_k, d_R), e_L, e_1, \dots, e_j, e_R) = \widetilde{\gamma}(\widetilde{c}, f_L, f_1, \dots, f_k, f_R)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_L &= \gamma_L(d_L; e_L, e_1, \dots, e_{j_0}) \\ f_s &= \gamma(d_s; e_{j_L+j_1+\dots+j_{s-1}+1}, \dots, e_{j_L+j_1+\dots+j_s}) \\ f_R &= \gamma_R(d_R; e_{j-j_R+1}, \dots, e_j) \end{aligned}$$

with $f_s = *$ when $j_s = 0$.

**Definition 3.2.** A morphism of pairs $(\mathcal{O}_{M1}, \mathcal{O}_1) \to (\mathcal{O}_{M2}, \mathcal{O}_2)$ is a pair $(\psi_M, \psi)$ where $\psi : \mathcal{O}_1 \to \mathcal{O}_2$ is a morphism of operads and $\psi_M$ is a collection of based maps $\psi_{Mj} : \mathcal{O}_{M1}(j) \to \mathcal{O}_{M2}(j)$ such that $\psi_{Mj}(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$ and the following diagram commutes:

(3)
$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{O}_{M1}(k) \times \mathcal{O}_{M1}(j_0) \times \mathcal{O}_1(j_1) \times \dots \times \mathcal{O}_1(j_k) \arrow[r, "\gamma_{M1}"] \arrow[d, "\psi_{Mk} \times \psi_{Mj_0} \times \psi_{j_1} \times \dots \times \psi_{j_k}"'] & \mathcal{O}_{M1}(j) \arrow[d, "\psi_{Mj}"] \\ \mathcal{O}_{M2}(k) \times \mathcal{O}_{M2}(j_0) \times \mathcal{O}_2(j_1) \times \dots \times \mathcal{O}_2(j_k) \arrow[r, "\gamma_{M2}"] & \mathcal{O}_{M2}(j) \end{tikzcd}$$

A morphism of 4-tuples $(\mathcal{O}_{L1}, \mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_{R1}, \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}) \to (\mathcal{O}_{L2}, \mathcal{O}_2, \mathcal{O}_{R2}, \widetilde{\mathcal{O}})$ is a 4-tuple $(\psi_L, \psi, \psi_R, \widetilde{\psi})$ where $(\psi_L, \psi) : (\mathcal{O}_{L1}, \mathcal{O}_1) \to (\mathcal{O}_{L2}, \mathcal{O}_2)$ and $(\psi_R, \psi) : (\mathcal{O}_{R1}, \mathcal{O}_1) \to (\mathcal{O}_{R2}, \mathcal{O}_2)$ are morphisms of pairs and $\widetilde{\psi}$ is a collection of based maps $\widetilde{\psi}(j) : \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_1(j) \to \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_2(j)$ such that the following diagram commutes:

(4)
$$\begin{tikzcd} \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_1(k) \times \mathcal{O}_{L1}(j_L) \times \mathcal{O}_1(j_1) \times \dots \times \mathcal{O}_1(j_k) \times \mathcal{O}_{R1}(j_R) \arrow[r, "\widetilde{\gamma}_1"] \arrow[d, "\widetilde{\psi}_k \times \psi_{Lj_L} \times \psi_{j_1} \times \dots \times \psi_{j_k} \times \psi_{Rj_R}"'] & \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_1(j) \arrow[d, "\widetilde{\psi}_j"] \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_2(k) \times \mathcal{O}_{L2}(j_L) \times \mathcal{O}_2(j_1) \times \dots \times \mathcal{O}_2(j_k) \times \mathcal{O}_{R2}(j_R) \arrow[r, "\widetilde{\gamma}_2"] & \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_2(j) \end{tikzcd}$$

**Definition 3.3.** Given topological spaces $X_L, X, X_R, Y$ let $\mathcal{E}(X)$ be the endomorphisms operad of $X$ and let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(X_\alpha, X)(n) &= \mathrm{Map}_*(X^n \times X_\alpha, X_\alpha) \qquad (\alpha = L, R) \\ \mathcal{E}(X_L, X, X_R, Y)(n) &= \mathrm{Map}_*(X_L \times X^n \times X_R, Y) \end{aligned}$$

Then $(\mathcal{E}(X_\alpha, X), \mathcal{E}(X))$ are operad pairs with $\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{E}(X_\alpha, X)(0)$ the identity map of $X_\alpha$ and $\gamma_M$ defined as compositions:

$$\gamma_M(f_M; g_M, g_1, \dots, g_k) = f_M(g_M \times g_1 \times \dots \times g_k)$$

and $(\mathcal{E}(X_L,X),\mathcal{E}(X),\mathcal{E}(X_R,X);\mathcal{E}(X_L,X,X_R,Y))$ is an operad 4-tuple with data $\widetilde{\gamma}$ defined as compositions

$$\widetilde{\gamma}(\widetilde{f};g_L,g_1,\ldots,g_k,g_R)=\widetilde{f}(g_L\times g_1\times\ldots\times g_k\times g_R)$$

We call a morphism of operad pairs $(\theta_M,\theta):(\mathcal{O}_M,\mathcal{O})\to(\mathcal{E}(X_M,X),\mathcal{E}(X))$ an action of $(\mathcal{O}_M,\mathcal{O})$ on $(X_M,X)$. $(X_M,X)$ is then said to be an $(\mathcal{O}_M,\mathcal{O})$-pair. Note that $X$ is automaticaly an $\mathcal{O}$-space. Similarly, given a morphism of 4-tuples

$$(\theta_L,\theta,\theta_R;\widetilde{\theta}):(\mathcal{O}_L,\mathcal{O},\mathcal{O}_R;\widetilde{\mathcal{O}})\to(\mathcal{E}(X_L,X),\mathcal{E}(X),\mathcal{E}(X_R,X);\mathcal{E}(X_L,X,X_R,Y))$$

we call $(X_L,X,X_R,Y)$ a $(\mathcal{O}_L,\mathcal{O},\mathcal{O}_R;\widetilde{\mathcal{O}})$ 4-tuple.

**Definition 3.4.** A morphism of $(\mathcal{O}_M,\mathcal{O})$-pairs $(X_{M1},X_1)\to(X_{M2},X_2)$ is a pair $(f_M,f)$ where $f:X_1\to X_2$ is a morphism of $\mathcal{O}$-spaces and $f_M:X_{M1}\to X_{M2}$ is a based map such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{O}_M(k)\times X_1^k\times X_{M1} \arrow[r, "\theta_{M1}"] \arrow[d, "\mathbf{1}\times f^k\times f_M"'] & X_{M1} \arrow[d, "f_M"] \\
\mathcal{O}_M(k)\times X_2^k\times X_{M2} \arrow[r, "\theta_{M2}"] & X_{M2}
\end{tikzcd}$$

A morphism of $(\mathcal{O}_L,\mathcal{O},\mathcal{O}_R;\widetilde{\mathcal{O}})$ 4-tuples $(X_{L1},X_1,X_{R1};Y_1)\to(X_{L2},X_2,X_{R2};Y_2)$ is a 4-tuple $(f_L,f,f_R;\widetilde{f})$ such that $(f_L,f):(X_{L1},X_1)\to(X_{L2},X_2)$ and $(f_R,f):(X_{R1},X_1)\to(X_{R2},X_2)$ are morphisms of operad pairs and $\widetilde{f}:Y_1\to Y_2$ is a based map such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}(k)\times X_{L1}\times X_1^k\times X_{R1} \arrow[r, "\widetilde{\theta}_1"] \arrow[d, "\mathbf{1}\times f_L\times f^k\times f_R"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\widetilde{f}"] \\
\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}(k)\times X_{L2}\times X_2^k\times X_{R2} \arrow[r, "\widetilde{\theta}_2"] & Y_2
\end{tikzcd}$$

We recall the definition of topological category:

**Definition 3.5.** A topological category $\mathscr{C}$ is a small category with topologized morphism sets such that composition is continuous and $\mathrm{ob}\mathscr{C}\subset\mathrm{mor}\mathscr{C}$ is a closed cofibration. An equivalence of topological categories is a functor $\mathcal{F}:\mathscr{C}_1\to\mathscr{C}_2$ which is the identity on objects and a homotopy equivalence on morphisms.

Let $\widetilde{\Delta}$ denote the category whose objects are the sets $[n]=\{0,1,\ldots,n\}\subset\mathbb{Z}$ plus the empty set and whose morphisms are the order preserving maps. It is often convenient to denote the object $\emptyset\in\widetilde{\Delta}$ by $[-1]$. Let $\Delta\subset\widetilde{\Delta}$ be the simplicial category.

**Definition 3.6.** Given an operad 4-tuple $(\mathcal{O}_L,\mathcal{O},\mathcal{O}_R;\widetilde{\mathcal{O}})$ let $\Delta(\mathcal{O}_L,\mathcal{O},\mathcal{O}_R)$ be the category equivalent to $\Delta$ whose morphisms are defined as follows: For each morphism $\mu\in\Delta(m,n)$ let

$$\Delta_\mu(\mathcal{O}_L,\mathcal{O},\mathcal{O}_R)(m,n)=\mathcal{O}_L(\mu_0)\times\left[\prod_{\alpha=1}^{m}\mathcal{O}(\mu_\alpha-\mu_{\alpha-1})\right]\times\mathcal{O}_R(n-\mu_m)$$

Then, the space of morphisms is defined to be

$$\Delta(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_R;)(m,n) = \coprod_{\mu \in \Delta(m,n)} \Delta_\mu(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_R)(m,n)$$

Composition of morphisms

$$\Delta_\mu(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_R)(m,n) \times \Delta_\nu(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_R)(n,p) \to \Delta_{\nu\circ\mu}(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_R)(m,p)$$

is done using the operad data:

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_L &: \mathcal{O}_L(\mu_0) \times \mathcal{O}_L(\nu_0) \times \prod_{\beta=1}^{\mu_0} \mathcal{O}(\nu_\beta - \nu_{\beta-1}) \to \mathcal{O}_L(\nu_{\mu_0}) \\
\gamma &: \mathcal{O}(\mu_\alpha - \mu_{\alpha-1}) \times \prod_{\beta=\mu_{\alpha-1}+1}^{\mu_\alpha} \mathcal{O}(\nu_\beta - \nu_{\beta-1}) \to \mathcal{O}(\nu_{\mu_\alpha} - \nu_{\mu_{\alpha-1}}) \\
\gamma_R &: \mathcal{O}_R(n-\mu_m) \times \mathcal{O}_R(p-\nu_n) \times \prod_{\beta=\mu_m+1}^{n} \mathcal{O}(\nu_\beta - \nu_{\beta-1}) \to \mathcal{O}_R(p - \nu_{\mu_m})
\end{aligned}$$

From the associativity of the operad data it is straightforward to prove that this composition law is associative.

We now define a category $\widetilde{\Delta}(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_R; \widetilde{\mathcal{O}})$ equivalent to $\widetilde{\Delta}$. For $m, n \neq [-1]$, the spaces of morphisms concide with those of $\Delta(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_R)$. For $m = -1$ we let

$$\widetilde{\Delta}(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_R; \widetilde{\mathcal{O}})(-1, n) = \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}(n)$$

Composition of morphisms

$$\widetilde{\Delta}(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_R; \widetilde{\mathcal{O}})(-1, m) \times \Delta_\mu(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_R)(m,n) \to \widetilde{\Delta}(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_R; \widetilde{\mathcal{O}})(-1, n)$$

is done using the operad data:

$$\widetilde{\gamma} : \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}(m) \times \mathcal{O}_L(\mu_0) \times \left[\prod_{\alpha=1}^{m} \mathcal{O}(\mu_\alpha - \mu_{\alpha-1})\right] \times \mathcal{O}_R(n - \mu_m) \to \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}(n)$$

**Proposition 3.1.** *Given a morphism of operad 4-tuples*

$$(\psi_L, \psi, \psi_R; \widetilde{\psi}) : (\mathcal{O}_{L1}, \mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_{R1}; \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_1) \to (\mathcal{O}_{L2}, \mathcal{O}_2, \mathcal{O}_{R2}; \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_2)$$

*there are equivalences of topological categories*

$$\begin{gathered}
\widetilde{\Delta}(\psi_L, \psi, \psi_R; \widetilde{\psi}) : \widetilde{\Delta}(\mathcal{O}_{L1}, \mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_{R1}; \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_1) \to \widetilde{\Delta}(\mathcal{O}_{L2}, \mathcal{O}_2, \mathcal{O}_{R2}, \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_2) \\
\Delta(\psi_L, \psi, \psi_R) : \Delta(\mathcal{O}_{L1}, \mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_{R1}) \to \Delta(\mathcal{O}_{L2}, \mathcal{O}_2, \mathcal{O}_{R2})
\end{gathered}$$

*given on morphisms by the maps*

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_L \times \left(\prod \psi\right) \times \psi_R : \mathcal{O}_{L1}(\mu_0) \times \left[\prod_{\alpha=1}^{m} \mathcal{O}_1(\mu_\alpha - \mu_{\alpha-1})\right] \times \mathcal{O}_{R1}(n - \mu_m) \\
\longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{L2}(\mu_0) \times \left[\prod_{\alpha=1}^{m} \mathcal{O}_2(\mu_\alpha - \mu_{\alpha-1})\right] \times \mathcal{O}_{R2}(n - \mu_m)
\end{aligned}$$

*and*

$$\widetilde{\psi} : \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}(n) \to \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}(m)$$

*Proof.* The relation $\Delta(\psi_L, \psi, \psi_R)(f \circ g) = \Delta(\psi_L, \psi, \psi_R)(f) \circ \Delta(\psi_L, \psi, \psi_R)(g)$ follows imediately from equation (3) □

**Definition 3.7.** Given a morphism of 4-tuples

$$(\theta_L,\theta,\theta_R;\widetilde{\theta}):(\mathcal{O}_L,\mathcal{O},\mathcal{O}_R;\widetilde{\mathcal{O}})\to(\mathcal{E}(X_L,X),\mathcal{E}(X),\mathcal{E}(X_R,X);\mathcal{E}(X_L,X,X_R;Y))$$

let $\mathfrak{B}(X_L,\theta_L,X,\theta,X_R,\theta_R):\Delta(\mathcal{O}_L,\mathcal{O},\mathcal{O}_R)^{op}\to Top$ be the functor defined on objects by

$$n\mapsto X_L\times X^n\times X_R$$

and defined on morphisms as follows: Given $f\in\Delta_\mu(\mathcal{O}_L,\mathcal{O},\mathcal{O}_R)(m,n)$ we can write

$$X_L\times X^n\times X_R=(X_L\times X^{\mu_0})\times\left(\prod_{\alpha=1}^{n}X^{\mu_\alpha-\mu_{\alpha-1}}\right)\times\left(X^{n-\mu_m}\times X_R\right)$$

Then the maps

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_L:\mathcal{O}_L(\mu_0)\times X_L\times X^{\mu_0}&\longrightarrow X_L\\ \theta:\mathcal{O}(\mu_\alpha-\mu_{\alpha-1})\times X^{\mu_\alpha-\mu_{\alpha-1}}&\longrightarrow X\\ \theta_R:\mathcal{O}_R(n-\mu_m)\times X^{n-\mu_m}\times X_R&\longrightarrow X_R\end{aligned}$$

induce the desired map $X_L\times X^n\times X_R\to X_L\times X^m\times X_R$.

Let $\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}(X_L,\theta_L,X,\theta,X_R,\theta_R;Y,\widetilde{\theta}):\widetilde{\Delta}(\mathcal{O}_L,\mathcal{O},\mathcal{O}_R;\widetilde{\mathcal{O}})^{op}\to Top$ be the functor extending $\mathfrak{B}(X_L,\theta_L,X,\theta,X_R,\theta_R)$, sending the object $[-1]$ to $Y$ and defined on morphisms by

$$f\in\widetilde{\Delta}(\mathcal{O}_L,\mathcal{O},\mathcal{O}_R;\widetilde{\mathcal{O}})(-1,n)\quad\mapsto\quad\widetilde{\theta}(f):X_L\times X^n\times X_R\to Y$$

We will write simply $\mathfrak{B}(X_L,X,X_R)$ and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}(X_L,X,X_R;\widetilde{X})$ when the dependence on $(\theta_L,\theta,\theta_R;\widetilde{\theta})$ is understood.

Unraveling the definitions we imediately get:

**Proposition 3.2.** *Given morphisms of 4-tuples*

$$(\psi_L,\psi,\psi_R;\widetilde{\psi}):(\mathcal{O}_{L1},\mathcal{O}_1,\mathcal{O}_{R1};\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_1)\to(\mathcal{O}_{L2},\mathcal{O}_2,\mathcal{O}_{R2};\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_2)$$

$$(\theta_L,\theta,\theta_R,\widetilde{\theta}):(\mathcal{O}_{L2},\mathcal{O}_2,\mathcal{O}_{R2};\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_2)\to(\,\mathcal{E}(X_L,X)\,,\,\mathcal{E}(X)\,,\,\mathcal{E}(X_R,X)\,;\,\mathcal{E}(X_L,X,X_R,Y)\,)$$

*we have*

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}(X_L,\theta_L\circ\psi_L,X,\theta\circ\psi,X_R,\theta_R\circ\psi_R;Y,\widetilde{\theta}\circ\widetilde{\psi})=\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}(X_L,\theta_L,X,\theta,X_R,\theta_R;Y,\widetilde{\theta})\circ\widetilde{\Delta}(\psi_L,\psi,\psi_R;\widetilde{\psi})$$

Following standard notation, we will usually write

$$\Delta(\psi_L,\psi,\psi_R)^*\mathfrak{B}(X_L,\theta_L,X,\theta,X_R,\theta_R)=\mathfrak{B}(X_L,\theta_L,X,\theta,X_R,\theta_R)\circ\Delta(\psi_L,\psi,\psi_R)$$

and similarly for $\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}$.

**Proposition 3.3.** *¡Given a morphism of $(\mathcal{O}_L,\mathcal{O},\mathcal{O}_R;\widetilde{\mathcal{O}})$ 4-tuples*

$$(f_L,f,f_R,\widetilde{f}):(X_{L1},X_1,X_{R1};Y_1)\to(X_{L2},X_2,X_{R2};Y_2)$$

*there is a natural transformation $\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}(X_{1L},X_1,X_{1R};Y_1)\to\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}(X_{2L},X_2,X_{2R};Y_2)$ defined by the maps*

$$f_L\times f^n\times f_R:X_{1L}\times X_1^n\times X_{1R}\to X_{2L}\times X_2^n\times X_{2R}$$

*and $\widetilde{f}:Y_1\to Y_2$.*

3.2. **Geometrical realization.** We will be using extensively a version of the bar construction which we now recall (see [5]):

**Definition 3.8.** Given a category $C$ and functors $F : C^{op} \to Top$, $G : C \to Top$ we define $B(F, C, G)$ as the geometrical realization of the category whose objects are the triples $(x, n, y)$ with $n \in C$, $x \in F(n)$ and $y \in G(n)$ and a morphism $(x_1, n_1, y_1) \to (x_2, n_2, y_2)$ is a morphism $f : n_1 \to n_2$ in $C$ which sends $x_2$ to $x_1$ and $y_1$ to $y_2$.

If $* : C \to Top$ is the constant functor to a one point space, $B(F, C, *)$ is just the homotopy colimit of $F$ which we will represent by $\|F\|$.

**Proposition 3.4.** *In the conditions of proposition 3.2, there is a homotopy equivalence*

$$\|\Delta(\psi_L, \psi, \psi_R)\| : \|\Delta(\psi_L, \psi, \psi_R)^*\mathfrak{B}(X_L, X, X_R)\| \to \|\mathfrak{B}(X_L, X, X_R)\|$$

*In the conditions of proposition 3.3, there is a map*

$$\|\mathfrak{B}(f_L, f, f_R)\| : \|\mathfrak{B}(X_{1L}, X_1, X_{1R})\| \to \|\mathfrak{B}(X_{2L}, X_2, X_{2R})\|$$

*If the maps $f_L, f, f_R$ are homotopy equivalences, then $\|\mathfrak{B}(f_L, f, f_R)\|$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

*Proof.* If the maps $f_L, f, f_R$ are homotopy equivalences, then the natural transformation $\mathfrak{B}(f_L, f, f_R)$ is a weak equivalence of functors so the result follows (see [5]). □

**Definition 3.9.** Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an operad. We denote by $(\mathcal{O}_+, \mathcal{O})$ the operad pair where $\mathcal{O}_+(n) = \mathcal{O}(n + 1)$ with data $\gamma_+$ induced by $\gamma$.

Note that $(X, X)$ is naturally a $(\mathcal{O}_+, \mathcal{O})$-pair. Let $(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O})$ be an operad pair and let $(X_L, X)$ be an $(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O})$-pair. We want to show that $\|\mathfrak{B}(X_L, X, X)\| \simeq X_L$. First observe that

**Proposition 3.5.** *The map $Y \to \|\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}(X_L, X, X_R; Y)\|$ induced by the inclusion $[-1] \to \widetilde{\Delta}(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_R; \widetilde{\mathcal{O}})$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

*Proof.* This follows since $[-1]$ is a cofinal object in $\widetilde{\Delta}$ and the categories $\widetilde{\Delta}$ and $\widetilde{\Delta}(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_R; \widetilde{\mathcal{O}})$ are equivalent. □

We will show that

**Proposition 3.6.** *The map $\|\mathfrak{B}(X_L, X, X)\| \to \|\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}(X_L, X, X; X_L)$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Before we prove the result we need some definitions and lemmas.

**Definition 3.10.** Let $\overline{\Delta}$ be the category whose objects are the objects of $\widetilde{\Delta}$ (with $\emptyset = [-1]$ and such that $\overline{\Delta}(m, n) \subset \Delta(m + 1, n + 1)$ as the morphisms which send $m+1$ to $n+1$. For $m \neq -1$ restriction gives an isomorphism $\overline{\Delta}(m, n) \cong \Delta(m, n+1)$ and hence we can see $\Delta$ as a subcategory of $\overline{\Delta}$.

**Lemma 3.7.** *$[-1] \in \overline{\Delta}$ is an initial (and final) object and the inclusion functor $F : \Delta^{op} \to \overline{\Delta}^{op}$ is cofinal.*

*Proof.* To prove the second statement, it is enough to show that, for any $[n] \in \overline{\Delta}$, the undercategory $[n] \downarrow F$ has a final element. This is the element $[n] \xleftarrow{I} [n+1]$ with $I \in \overline{\Delta}^{op}(n, n+1)$ the morphism that sends $n+2$ to $n+1$ and is the identity on the remaining elements. Indeed, given an element $[n] \xleftarrow{\bar{\mu}} [m]$ with $\bar{\mu} \in \bar{\Delta}^{op}(n, m)$, there is a unique morphism $\mu \in \Delta^{op}(n+1, m)$, namely $\mu$ corresponds to $\bar{\mu}$ under the isomorphism $\overline{\Delta}(m, n) \cong \Delta(m, n+1)$. □

**Definition 3.11.** We denote by $\overline{\Delta}(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_+)$ the topological category equivalent to $\overline{\Delta}$ whose morphisms are defined as follows: for each $\mu \in \Delta(m+1, n+1)$ let

$$\overline{\Delta}_\mu(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_+) = \mathcal{O}_L(\mu_0) \times \prod_{\alpha=1}^{m+1} \mathcal{O}(\mu_\alpha - \mu_{\alpha-1})$$

and define $\overline{\Delta}(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_+)(m, n) = \coprod_{\mu \in \overline{\Delta}(m,n)} \overline{\Delta}_\mu(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_+)$.

Notice that, for $\mu_m \leq n$, $\mathcal{O}_+(n - \mu_m) = \mathcal{O}(\mu_{m+1} - \mu_m)$ hence $\Delta(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_+)$ is canonicaly a subcategory of $\overline{\Delta}(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_+)$.

**Lemma 3.8.** *The inclusion functor $\Delta^{op}(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_+) \to \overline{\Delta}^{op}(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_+)$ is cofinal.*

*Proof.* It follows from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Delta^{op}(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_+) \arrow[r, "F"] \arrow[d, "\imath_I"] & \Delta^{op} \arrow[d, "\imath"] \\
\overline{\Delta}^{op}(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_+) \arrow[r, "\overline{F}"] & \overline{\Delta}^{op}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the horizontal maps are equivalences of categories and the right vertical map is cofinal. □

**Lemma 3.9.** *Let $\mathcal{O}_{L+}(n) = \mathcal{O}_L(n+1)$. Then $(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_+; \mathcal{O}_{L+})$ is an operad 4-tuple, $(X_L, X, X; X_L)$ is an $(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_+; \mathcal{O}_{L+})$ 4-tuple and the functor $\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}(X_L, X, X; X_L) : \Delta(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}; \mathcal{O}_{L+}) \to Top$ can be extended to a functor $\overline{\mathfrak{B}}(X_L, X, X) : \overline{\Delta}(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}) \to Top$.*

*Proof.* Given $\mu \in \Delta(m+1, n+1)$ with $\mu_{m+1} = n+1$ the map

$$X_L \times X^{n+1} = X_L \times X^{\mu_0} \times \prod_{\alpha=1}^{m+1} X^{\mu_\alpha - \mu_{\alpha-1}} \longrightarrow X_L \times X^{m+1}$$

is defined by the maps

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{O}_L(\mu_0) \times X_L \times X^{\mu_0} \to X_L \\
&\mathcal{O}(\mu_\alpha - \mu_{\alpha-1}) \times X^{\mu_\alpha - \mu_{\alpha-1}} \to X
\end{aligned}$$
□

We can now prove

**Proposition 3.10.** *We have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\|\mathfrak{B}(X_L, X, X)\| \arrow[r, "\imath_I"] \arrow[d] & \|\overline{\mathfrak{B}}(X_L, X, X)\| \\
\|\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}(X_L, X, X; X_L) \arrow[ur] & X_L \arrow[l, "{[-1]}"'] \arrow[u, "{[-1]}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

*where every map is a homotopy equivalence.*

*Proof.* From lemma 3.8 it follows that the top horizontal map is a homotopy equivalence. To prove that the right vertical map is a homotopy equivalence we consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\|\overline{\mathfrak{B}}(X_L,X,X)\| & \|\mathbf{1}^*\mathbf{1}_{h*}\overline{\mathfrak{B}}(X_L,X,X)\| \arrow[l, "\simeq"'] \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & \|\overline{F}_{h*}\overline{\mathfrak{B}}(X_L,X,X)\| \\
\overline{\mathfrak{B}}(X_L,X,X)(-1) \arrow[u] & \mathbf{1}^*\mathbf{1}_{h*}\overline{\mathfrak{B}}(X_L,X,X)(-1) \arrow[l, "\simeq"'] \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & \overline{F}_{h*}\overline{\mathfrak{B}}(X_L,X,X)(-1) \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $[-1]$ is a final object in $\overline{\Delta}$, the right vertical map is a homotopy equivalence. Hence the left vertical map is a homotopy equivalence. The same proof works for the bottom horizontal map. □

## 4. The space $\mathfrak{B}_I$

Recall that $\mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}$ is the complex linear isometries operad on the universe $\mathbb{H}$. For each $I \in \mathfrak{C}$ we denote by $(\mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}})^I$ the operad with $(\mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}})^I(n) = (\mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}(n))^I$. Let

$$n\mathbb{H} = \underbrace{\mathbb{H} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbb{H}}_{n} \quad , \quad (m+nI)\mathbb{H} = m\mathbb{H} \oplus n\left(\bigoplus_{x\in I} \mathbb{H}\langle x\rangle\right)$$

and let $\mathscr{L}_I^{\mathbb{H}}(n) = \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}(1+nI)$ be the space of complex linear isometries $(1+nI)\mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}$. Then $(\mathscr{L}_I^{\mathbb{H}}, (\mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}})^I)$ is an operad pair with data

$$\gamma_I : \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}(1+kI) \times \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}(1+j_0 I) \times \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}(j_1)^I \times \ldots \times \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}(j_k)^I \to \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}(1+jI)$$

induced by the operad data of $\mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}$: We write $\widetilde{\Delta}_I^{\mathbb{H}} = \widetilde{\Delta}\left(\mathscr{L}_I^{\mathbb{H}}, (\mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}})^I, (\mathscr{L}_+^{\mathbb{H}})^I; \mathscr{L}_{I+}^{\mathbb{H}}\right)$ and $\Delta_I^{\mathbb{H}} = \Delta(\mathscr{L}_I^{\mathbb{H}}, (\mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}})^I, (\mathscr{L}_+^{\mathbb{H}})^I)$.

Given a morphism $i : J \to I$ in $\mathfrak{C}$ we have operad maps

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}(n))^I &\to (\mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}(n))^J && \text{(projection)} \\
\mathscr{L}_I^{\mathbb{H}}(n) &\to \mathscr{L}_J^{\mathbb{H}}(n) && \text{(adjoint to the inclusion } \mathbb{H}^{1+nJ} \to \mathbb{H}^{1+nI}\text{)}
\end{aligned}$$

which, by proposition 3.1, define functors $\widetilde{\Delta}_i : \widetilde{\Delta}_I \to \widetilde{\Delta}_J$ and $\Delta_i : \Delta_I \to \Delta_J$.

**Proposition 4.1.** *The assignements $I \mapsto \widetilde{\Delta}_I$ and $i \mapsto \widetilde{\Delta}_i$ define a functor from $\mathfrak{C}^{op}$ to the category of categories. A corresponding statement is valid for $(\Delta_I, \Delta_i)$.*

We will now define a functor $\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_I : \widetilde{\Delta}_I \to Top$. For each $J \in \mathfrak{C}$ let $\theta_J : \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}} \to \mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{M}_J)$ denote the standard action of $\mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}$ on $\mathfrak{M}_J$. For each $f \in \mathscr{L}_+^{\mathbb{H}}$ we have a map

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\emptyset}^n \times \mathfrak{M}_J \xrightarrow{\pi_{\emptyset,J}^* \times \mathbf{1}} \mathfrak{M}_J^n \times \mathfrak{M}_J \xrightarrow{\theta_J(f)} \mathfrak{M}_J$$

which makes $(\mathfrak{M}_J^{\mathbb{H}}, \mathfrak{M}_{\emptyset}^{\mathbb{H}})$ into an $(\mathscr{L}_+^{\mathbb{H}}, \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}})$ pair. Similarly, given $(f_x)_{x\in I} \in (\mathscr{L}_+^{\mathbb{H}}(n))^I$, the map

$$(\mathfrak{M}_{\emptyset}^{\mathbb{H}})^{nI} \times \prod_{x\in I} \mathfrak{M}_x \xrightarrow{\left[\prod(\pi_{\emptyset,x}^*)^n\right]\times\mathbf{1}} \prod_{x\in I} (\mathfrak{M}_x^n \times \mathfrak{M}_x) \xrightarrow{\prod \theta_x(f_x)} \prod_{x\in I} \mathfrak{M}_x$$

makes $\left(\prod_{x\in I}\mathfrak{M}_x, (\mathfrak{M}_\emptyset^{\mathbb{H}})^I\right)$ into an $((\mathscr{L}_+^{\mathbb{H}})^I, (\mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}})^I)$-pair. Also, $\theta_\emptyset$ induces an $(\mathscr{L}_I^{\mathbb{H}}, (\mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}})^I)$-pair structure on $(\mathfrak{M}_\emptyset^{\mathbb{H}}, (\mathfrak{M}_\emptyset^{\mathbb{H}})^I)$. Finally, for each $f \in \mathscr{L}_{I+}$, the composition

$$\mathfrak{M}_\emptyset^{\mathbb{H}} \times (\mathfrak{M}_\emptyset^{\mathbb{H}})^{nI} \times \prod_{x\in I}\mathfrak{M}_x \xrightarrow{\pi_{\emptyset,I}^* \times (\pi_{\emptyset,I}^*)^{nI} \times \prod \pi_{x,I}^*} \mathfrak{M}_I^{\mathbb{H}} \times (\mathfrak{M}_I^{\mathbb{H}})^{nI} \times (\mathfrak{M}_I)^I \xrightarrow{\theta_I(f)} \mathfrak{M}_I$$

gives $(\mathfrak{M}_\emptyset^{\mathbb{H}}, (\mathfrak{M}_\emptyset^{\mathbb{H}})^I, \prod \mathfrak{M}_x^{\mathbb{H}}; \mathfrak{M}_I^{\mathbb{H}})$ the structure of an $(\mathscr{L}_I^{\mathbb{H}}, (\mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}})^I, (\mathscr{L}_+^{\mathbb{H}})^I; \mathscr{L}_{I+}^{\mathbb{H}})$ 4-tuple. We write $\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_I^{\mathbb{H}} = \widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathfrak{M}_\emptyset^{\mathbb{H}}, (\mathfrak{M}_\emptyset^{\mathbb{H}})^I, \prod \mathfrak{M}_x^{\mathbb{H}}; \mathfrak{M}_I^{\mathbb{H}})$ and $\mathfrak{B}_I^{\mathbb{H}} = \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{M}_\emptyset^{\mathbb{H}}, (\mathfrak{M}_\emptyset^{\mathbb{H}})^I, \prod \mathfrak{M}_x^{\mathbb{H}})$.

**Proposition 4.2.** *An isomorphism $\phi : \mathbb{H}_1 \to \mathbb{H}_2$ induces homeomorphisms $\|\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_I^{\mathbb{H}_1}\| \cong \|\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_I^{\mathbb{H}_2}\|$ and $\|\mathfrak{B}_I^{\mathbb{H}_1}\| \cong \|\mathfrak{B}_I^{\mathbb{H}_2}\|$.*

*Proof.* $\phi$ induces a map $n\phi = \mathrm{diag}(\phi, \ldots, \phi) : n\mathbb{H}_1 \to n\mathbb{H}_2$ and we have a map of operads $\mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}_1} \to \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}_2}$ mapping $f \in \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}_1}(n)$ to $\phi \circ f \circ (n\phi)^{-1} \in \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}_2}(n)$. By proposition 3.1, this map induces a functor $\Delta_\phi : \Delta_I^{\mathbb{H}_1} \to \Delta_I^{\mathbb{H}_2}$. For each $J \subset I$ we have homeomorphisms $\mathfrak{M}_J^{\mathbb{H}_1} \cong \mathfrak{M}_J^{\mathbb{H}_2}$ and by proposition 3.4 we can define a map

$$\phi : \|\mathfrak{B}_I^{\mathbb{H}_1}\| \xrightarrow{\|\mathfrak{B}_\phi\|} \|\Delta_\phi^* \mathfrak{B}_I^{\mathbb{H}_1}\| \xrightarrow{\|\Delta_\phi\|} \|\mathfrak{B}_I^{\mathbb{H}_2}\|$$

Looking at definition 3.8, if we denote by $C^{\mathbb{H}}$ the category associated to the functors $F = \mathfrak{B}_I^{\mathbb{H}} : (\Delta_I^{\mathbb{H}})^{op} \to Top$, and $G = *$, $\phi$ and $\phi^{-1}$ induce functors $C^{\mathbb{H}_1} \to C^{\mathbb{H}_2}$ and $C^{\mathbb{H}_2} \to C^{\mathbb{H}_1}$ which are inverse of each other and hence become homeomorphisms hen passing to the geometric realization. This concludes the proof for $\mathfrak{B}_I$. The proof for $\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_I$ is identical. □

A morphism $i : J \to I$, induces inclusions of based spaces

$$\mathfrak{M}_\emptyset \to \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset$$
$$(\mathfrak{M}_\emptyset)^J \to (\mathfrak{M}_\emptyset)^I$$
$$\prod_{x\in J}\mathfrak{M}_x \to \prod_{x\in I}\mathfrak{M}_x$$

Together with the map $\pi_{J,I}^* : \mathfrak{M}_J \to \mathfrak{M}_I$, these inclusions induce, by proposition 3.3, natural transformations $\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_i : \widetilde{\Delta}_i^* \widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_J \to \widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_I$ and $\mathfrak{B}_i : \Delta_i^* \mathfrak{B}_J \to \mathfrak{B}_I$.

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let $\|\Delta_i\|^{-1}$ denote the homotopy inverse of the map $\|\Delta_i\| : \|\Delta_i^* \mathfrak{B}_J\| \to \|\mathfrak{B}_J\|$ (see proposition 3.4). Then the assignements $I \mapsto \|\mathfrak{B}_I\|$ and $(i : J \to I) \mapsto \|\mathfrak{B}_i\| \circ \|\Delta_i\|^{-1}$ define a functor $\|\mathfrak{B}\| : \mathfrak{C} \to hTop$. An analogous statement is valid for $\|\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_I\|, \|\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_i\| \circ \|\widetilde{\Delta}_i\|^{-1}$.*

*Proof.* Given maps $i : I \to J$ and $j : J \to K$, and given $n \in \Delta_K$, we have $\mathfrak{B}_{i\circ j}(n) = \mathfrak{B}_i(n) \circ \mathfrak{B}_j(n)$. Using the identity $\Delta_{j\circ i} = \Delta_i \circ \Delta_j$ we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
B(\mathfrak{B}_I, \Delta_I, *) & B(\mathfrak{B}_I \circ \Delta_i, \Delta_J, *) \arrow[l, "\Delta_i"', "\simeq"] \arrow[r, "\mathfrak{B}_i"] & B(\mathfrak{B}_J, \Delta_J, *) \\
 & B(\Delta^*_{j\circ i}\mathfrak{B}_I, \Delta_K, *) \arrow[ul, "\simeq"', "\Delta_{j\circ i}"] \arrow[u, "\simeq", "\Delta_j"'] \arrow[r, "\mathfrak{B}_i"] \arrow[dr, "\mathfrak{B}_{j\circ i}"'] & B(\Delta^*_j\mathfrak{B}_J, \Delta_K, *) \arrow[u, "\simeq", "\Delta_j"'] \arrow[d, "\mathfrak{B}_j"] \\
 & & B(\mathfrak{B}_K, \Delta_K, *)
\end{tikzcd}$$

□

**Remark 4.1.** If we consider only subsets of a fixed $I$ we can get a functor to Top instead of hTop. For each $J \subset I$ we replace the functors $\mathfrak{B}_J$ by the functors $\Delta^*_j\mathfrak{B}_J : \Delta_I \to Top$ where $j : J \to I$ is the unique morphism, and given a morphism $i : J_1 \to J_2$, the natural transformation $\mathfrak{B}_i : \Delta^*_i\mathfrak{B}_{J_1} \to \mathfrak{B}_{J_2}$ induces a natural transformation $\Delta^*_{j_1}\mathfrak{B}_{J_1} = \Delta^*_{j_2}\Delta^*_i\mathfrak{B}_{J_1} \to \Delta^*_{j_2}\mathfrak{B}_{J_2}$. If we let $\mathfrak{C}_I$ be the full subcategory of $\mathfrak{C}$ whose objects are the subsets of $I$, geometric realization gives a functor $\mathfrak{C}_I \to Top$. We will come back to this construction in section 5.

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let* $\phi : \|\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_I\| \to \mathfrak{M}_I$ *be the homotopy inverse to the map* $\mathfrak{M}_I \to \|\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_I\|$ *induced by the inclusion* $[-1] \to \widetilde{\Delta}_I$. *Then the maps*

$$h_I : \|\mathfrak{B}_I\| \longrightarrow \|\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_I\| \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathfrak{M}_I$$

*define a natural transformation* $h$ *betwen the functors* $\|\mathfrak{B}\|, \mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{C} \to hTop$.

**Theorem 4.** *The maps* $h_\emptyset, h_x$ *are homotopy equivalences.*

We will now show that $(\|\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_I\|, \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset)$ and $(\|\mathfrak{B}_I\|, \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset)$ have the structure of an $(\mathscr{L}_+, \mathscr{L})$-pair.

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let* $\mathbb{H}_\emptyset, \mathbb{H}_I$ *be universes and let* $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}_\emptyset \otimes \mathbb{H}_I$. *Let* $i_I : \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}_I} \to \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}$ *be the map of operads which maps* $f \in \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}_I}(n)$ *to the isometry* $\mathbf{1} \otimes f : \mathbb{H}_\emptyset \otimes (n\mathbb{H}_I) \to \mathbb{H}_\emptyset \otimes \mathbb{H}_I$. *Then* $(\|i_I^*\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_I^{\mathbb{H}}\|, \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset^{\mathbb{H}})$ *and* $(\|i_I^*\mathfrak{B}_I^{\mathbb{H}}\|, \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset^{\mathbb{H}})$ *have the structure of* $(\mathscr{L}_+^{\mathbb{H}_\emptyset}, \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}_\emptyset})$*-pairs.*

*Proof.* We want to define maps

$$\theta : \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}_\emptyset} \to \mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{M}_\emptyset^{\mathbb{H}})$$
$$\theta_{\mathfrak{B}} : \mathscr{L}_+^{\mathbb{H}_\emptyset} \to \mathcal{E}(\|i_I^*\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_I^{\mathbb{H}}\|, \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset^{\mathbb{H}})$$

We begin with $\theta$. Let $\theta_J : \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}} \to \mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{M}_J^{\mathbb{H}})$ be the canonical $\mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}$-space structure on $\mathfrak{M}_J$ and let $i_\emptyset : \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}_\emptyset} \to \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}$ be the map of operads taking $f \in \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}_\emptyset}(n)$ to $f \otimes \mathbf{1} : (n\mathbb{H}_\emptyset) \otimes \mathbb{H}_I \to \mathbb{H}_\emptyset \otimes \mathbb{H}_I$. Then we let $\theta = \theta_\emptyset \circ i_\emptyset$. We now define $\theta_{\mathfrak{B}}$. Given $f \in \mathscr{L}_+^{\mathbb{H}_\emptyset}(n)$ the map $\theta_{\mathfrak{B}}(f)$ will be defined by the natural transformation $(\mathfrak{M}_\emptyset^{\mathbb{H}})^n \times i_I^*\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_I^{\mathbb{H}} \to i_I^*\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_I^{\mathbb{H}}$ given by the maps

$$(\mathfrak{M}_\emptyset^{\mathbb{H}})^n \times \widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_I^{\mathbb{H}}(m) = (\mathfrak{M}_\emptyset^{\mathbb{H}})^{n+1} \times (\mathfrak{M}_\emptyset^{\mathbb{H}})^{mI} \times \prod \mathfrak{M}_x^{\mathbb{H}} \xrightarrow{\theta(f)} \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset^{\mathbb{H}} \times (\mathfrak{M}_\emptyset^{\mathbb{H}})^{mI} \times \prod \mathfrak{M}_x^{\mathbb{H}} = \mathfrak{B}_I^{\mathbb{H}}(m)$$

$$(\mathfrak{M}_\emptyset^{\mathbb{H}})^n \times \widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_I^{\mathbb{H}}(-1) = (\mathfrak{M}_\emptyset^{\mathbb{H}})^n \times \mathfrak{M}_I \xrightarrow{\pi^*_{\emptyset,I}} (\mathfrak{M}_I^{\mathbb{H}})^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\theta_I \circ i_\emptyset} \mathfrak{M}_I^{\mathbb{H}} = \widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_I(-1)$$

The structure on $(\|i_I^*\mathfrak{B}_I^{\mathbb{H}}\|, \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset^{\mathbb{H}})$ is obtained by restricting $\theta_{\mathfrak{B}}$ to $\mathfrak{B}$. □

Consider the functor

$$\mathfrak{B}(\|\mathfrak{B}^{\mathbb{H}}_I\|, \mathfrak{M}^{\mathbb{H}}_\emptyset, \|\mathfrak{B}^{\mathbb{H}}_J\|) : \Delta(\mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}_\emptyset}_+, \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}_\emptyset}, \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}_\emptyset}_+) \to Top$$

**Proposition 4.6.** *Fix Hilbert spaces* $\mathbb{H}_{IJ}, \mathbb{H}_\emptyset$ *and let* $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}_{IJ} \otimes \mathbb{H}_\emptyset$. *Let* $i : \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}_{IJ}} \to \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}$ *be the usual map. Then we have a commutative diagram on* $hTop$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\|\mathfrak{B}(\|i^*\mathfrak{B}^{\mathbb{H}}_I\|, \mathfrak{M}^{\mathbb{H}}_\emptyset, \|i^*\mathfrak{B}^{\mathbb{H}}_J\|)\| \arrow[r] \arrow[d, leftrightarrow, "\simeq"'] & \|\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{M}^{\mathbb{H}}_I, \mathfrak{M}^{\mathbb{H}}_\emptyset, \mathfrak{M}^{\mathbb{H}}_J)\| \arrow[d] \\
\|\mathfrak{B}^{\mathbb{H}}_{I\cup J}\| \arrow[r] & \mathfrak{M}^{\mathbb{H}}_{I\cup J}
\end{tikzcd}$$

*where the left vertical map is a homotopy equivalence.*

*Proof.* Let $\widetilde{\Delta}^{\mathbb{H}}_\bullet = \Delta(\mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}_+, \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}, \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}_+, \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}_{++})$ ($\mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}_{++}(n) = \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}(n+2)$) and define similarly $\overline{\Delta}^{\mathbb{H}}_\bullet$ and $\Delta^{\mathbb{H}}_\bullet$. The strategy of the proof will be to define subcategories $\widetilde{\Delta}^{\mathbb{H}}_{IJ}, \overline{\Delta}^{\mathbb{H}}_{IJ}, \Delta^{\mathbb{H}}_{IJ} \subset \widetilde{\Delta}^{\mathbb{H}}_I \times \overline{\Delta}^{\mathbb{H}}_\bullet \times \widetilde{\Delta}^{\mathbb{H}}_J$ and functors $\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ} : \widetilde{\Delta}^{op}_{IJ} \to Top$, $\overline{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ} : \overline{\Delta}^{op}_{IJ} \to Top$ and $\mathfrak{B}_{IJ} : \Delta_{IJ} \to Top$ together with the following functors

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Delta^{\mathbb{H}_{IJ}}_I \times \Delta^{\mathbb{H}_\emptyset}_\bullet \times \Delta^{\mathbb{H}_{IJ}}_J \arrow[rr] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr] & & \widetilde{\Delta}^{\mathbb{H}_{IJ}}_I \times \Delta^{\mathbb{H}_\emptyset}_\bullet \times \widetilde{\Delta}^{\mathbb{H}_{IJ}}_J \arrow[d, "\widetilde{F}"'] & \Delta^{\mathbb{H}_\emptyset}_\bullet \arrow[l] \arrow[d] \\
\overline{\Delta}_{IJ} & \Delta_{IJ} \arrow[l] \arrow[r] & \widetilde{\Delta}_{IJ} & \widetilde{\Delta}^{\mathbb{H}}_\bullet \arrow[l] \\
\Delta^{\mathbb{H}}_{I\cup J} \arrow[u] \arrow[ur] \arrow[rr] & & \widetilde{\Delta}^{\mathbb{H}}_{I\cup J} \arrow[u, "\widetilde{d}_{IJ}"] & {[-1]} \arrow[l] \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that by taking the geometric realization of the pullbacks of $\overline{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}$ and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}$ we obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\|\mathfrak{B}(\|\mathfrak{B}_I\|, \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset, \|\mathfrak{B}_J\|)\| \arrow[rr] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \arrow[dr] & & \|\mathfrak{B}(\|\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_I\|, \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset, \|\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_J\|)\| \arrow[d] & \|\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{M}_I, \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset, \mathfrak{M}_J)\| \arrow[l, "\simeq"'] \arrow[d] \\
\|\overline{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}\| & \|\mathfrak{B}_{IJ}\| \arrow[l, "\simeq"'] \arrow[r] & \|\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}\| & \|\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathfrak{M}_I, \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset, \mathfrak{M}_J)\| \arrow[l, "\simeq"'] \\
\|\mathfrak{B}_{I\cup J}\| \arrow[u, "\simeq"] \arrow[ur] \arrow[rr] & & \|\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{I\cup J}\| \arrow[u, "\simeq"] & \mathfrak{M}_{I\cup J} \arrow[l, "\simeq"'] \arrow[u, "\simeq"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

The result imediatelly follows.

(1) We first define the categories. Before we define the morphisms of $\widetilde{\overline{\Delta}}_{IJ}$ we need to consider, given $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, the embedding $\mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}_+(a+b) \to \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}_+(a) \times \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}_+(b)$ whose components are the adjoints to the inclusions

$$(\mathbf{1}, 0) : a\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H} \to (a\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}) \oplus b\mathbb{H}$$
$$(0, \mathbf{1}) : \mathbb{H} \oplus b\mathbb{H} \to a\mathbb{H} \oplus (\mathbb{H} \oplus b\mathbb{H})$$

Its image is the subspace of pairs $(\phi_a, \phi_b)$ such that $\phi_a(0, v) = \phi_b(v, 0)$ for any $v \in \mathbb{H}$. Similarly we have an embedding $\mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}_+(a+b+1+c) \to$

$\mathscr{L}_{+}^{\mathbb{H}}(a) \times \mathscr{L}_{+}^{\mathbb{H}}(b+1) \times \mathscr{L}_{+}^{\mathbb{H}}(c)$ whose components are the adjoints to the inclusions

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{1},0) &: a\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H} \to (a\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}) \oplus b\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H} \oplus c\mathbb{H} \\
(0,\mathbf{1},0) &: \mathbb{H} \oplus b\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H} \to a\mathbb{H} \oplus (\mathbb{H} \oplus b\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}) \oplus c\mathbb{H} \\
(0,\mathbf{1}) &: \mathbb{H} \oplus c\mathbb{H} \to a\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H} \oplus b\mathbb{H} \oplus (\mathbb{H} \oplus c\mathbb{H})
\end{aligned}$$

Its image is the subspace of triples $(\phi_a, \phi_b, \phi_c)$ such that $\phi_a(0,v) = \phi_b(v,0,0)$ and $\phi_b(0,0,v) = \phi_c(v,0)$ for any $v \in \mathbb{H}$. Given $\mu \in \overline{\Delta}(m,n)$ and two non-negative integers $a, b$ we define $\mathfrak{A}(a,\mu,b) \subset \mathscr{L}_{I}^{\mathbb{H}}(a) \times \overline{\Delta}_{1,\mu} \times \mathscr{L}_{J}^{\mathbb{H}}(b)$ by

$$\mathfrak{A}(a,\mu,b) = \begin{cases} \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}(aI + \mu_0 + 1) \times \left[\prod_{\alpha=1}^{m} \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}(\mu_\alpha - \mu_{\alpha-1})\right] \times \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}(n - \mu_m + bJ + 1) & m \neq -1 \\ \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}(aI + n + 2 + bJ) & m = -1 \end{cases}$$

Let $\widetilde{\overline{\Delta}}_{IJ} \subset \widetilde{\Delta}_I \times \overline{\Delta}_\bullet \times \widetilde{\Delta}_J$ be the subcategory equivalent to $\widetilde{\Delta}_I \times \overline{\Delta}_\bullet \times \widetilde{\Delta}_J$ with morphisms

$$\widetilde{\overline{\Delta}}_{IJ}(\,(m_I, m, m_J)\,,\,(n_I, n, n_J)\,) = \coprod_{\substack{\mu_I \in \Delta(m_I,n_I) \\ \mu \in \Delta(m,n) \\ \mu_J \in \Delta(m_J,n_J)}} \Delta_{IJ,\mu_I,\mu,\mu_J}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{IJ,\mu_I,\mu,\mu_J} = &\left[\prod_{\alpha=1}^{m_I} \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}(\mu_{I,\alpha} - \mu_{I,\alpha-1})^I\right] \times \mathscr{L}_{+}^{\mathbb{H}}(n_I - \mu_{I,m_I})^I \times \mathfrak{A}(\mu_{I,0}, \mu, \mu_{J,0}) \\
\times &\left[\prod_{\alpha=1}^{m_J} \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}(\mu_{J,\alpha} - \mu_{J,\alpha-1})^J\right] \times \mathscr{L}_{+}^{\mathbb{H}}(n_J - \mu_{J,m_J})^J \subset \Delta_{I,\mu_I} \times \overline{\Delta}_{\bullet,\mu} \times \Delta_{J,\mu_J}
\end{aligned}$$

with the usual convention that, if $m_I = -1$, then $\mu_{I,\alpha} = n_I + 1$ for all $\alpha$ and similarly if $m_J = -1$. It is straightforward to check that $\widetilde{\overline{\Delta}}_{IJ}$ is a well defined subcategory of $\widetilde{\Delta}_I \times \overline{\Delta}_\bullet \times \widetilde{\Delta}_J$. $\overline{\Delta}_{IJ}$ and $\widetilde{\Delta}_{IJ}$ are defined by pullback diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\overline{\Delta}_{IJ} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Delta_I \times \overline{\Delta}_\bullet \times \Delta_J \arrow[d] & \widetilde{\Delta}_{IJ} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \widetilde{\Delta}_I \times \widetilde{\Delta}_\bullet \times \widetilde{\Delta}_J \arrow[d] \\
\widetilde{\overline{\Delta}}_{IJ} \arrow[r] & \widetilde{\Delta}_I \times \overline{\Delta}_\bullet \times \widetilde{\Delta}_J & \widetilde{\overline{\Delta}}_{IJ} \arrow[r] & \widetilde{\Delta}_I \times \overline{\Delta}_\bullet \times \widetilde{\Delta}_J
\end{tikzcd}$$

and $\Delta_{IJ} = \overline{\Delta}_{IJ} \cap \widetilde{\Delta}_{IJ}$.

(2) We will now define the functors $\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_I, \overline{\mathfrak{B}}_I, \mathfrak{B}_I$. It is convenient to introduce some notation. For $n \in \widetilde{\Delta}_\bullet$ and $n_J \in \widetilde{\Delta}_J$ we consider the following functors

from $\widetilde{\Delta}_I$ to $Top$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}(\,\cdot\,,n,n_J) &= \widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_I \times \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset^{n} \times \widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_J(n_J) && n \neq -1\\
\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}(\,\cdot\,,-1,n_J) &= \widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_I \times \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset^{n_J J} \times \prod_{x\in J} \mathfrak{M}_x && n_J \neq -1\\
\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}(\,\cdot\,,-1,-1) &= \widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}\left(\prod_{x\in I}\mathfrak{M}_x\,,\,\mathfrak{M}_\emptyset^{I}\,,\,\mathfrak{M}_J\,;\,\mathfrak{M}_{I\cup J}\right)\\
\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}(n_J,n,\,\cdot\,) &= \widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}(\,\cdot\,,n,n_J)
\end{aligned}$$

For $n_I \in \widetilde{\Delta}_I$ and $n_J \in \widetilde{\Delta}_J$ we consider the following functors from $\widetilde{\Delta}_\bullet$ to $Top$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}(n_I,\,\cdot\,,-1) &= \left[\prod_{x\in I}\mathfrak{M}_x\right] \times \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset^{n_I I} \times \widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathfrak{M}_\emptyset,\mathfrak{M}_\emptyset,\mathfrak{M}_J;\mathfrak{M}_J) && n_I \neq -1\\
\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}(-1,\,\cdot\,,-1) &= \widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathfrak{M}_I,\mathfrak{M}_\emptyset,\mathfrak{M}_J;\mathfrak{M}_{I\cup J})\\
\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}(-1,\,\cdot\,,n_J) &= \widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}(n_J,\,\cdot\,,-1)
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, for $n_I \in \Delta_I$ and $n_J \in \Delta_J$ we consider the following functors from $\overline{\Delta}_\bullet$ to $Top$:

$$\overline{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}(n_I,\,\cdot\,,n_J) = \left[\prod_{x\in I}\mathfrak{M}_x\right] \times \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset^{n_I I} \times \overline{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathfrak{M}_\emptyset,\mathfrak{M}_\emptyset,\mathfrak{M}_\emptyset) \times \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset^{n_J J} \times \prod_{x\in J}\mathfrak{M}_x$$

We proceed with the definition of the functors. On objects

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}(m_I,m,m_J) &= \widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_I(m_I) \times \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset^{m} \times \widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_J(m_J) \qquad m \neq -1\\
\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}(m_I,-1,m_J) &= \begin{cases} \widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_I(m_I) \times \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset^{m_J J} \times \left[\prod_{x\in J}\mathfrak{M}_x\right] & m_J \neq -1\\ \left[\prod_{x\in I}\mathfrak{M}_x\right] \times \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset^{m_I I} \times \widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_J(m_J) & m_I \neq -1 \end{cases}\\
\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}(-1,-1,-1) &= \mathfrak{M}_{I\cup J}
\end{aligned}$$

and $\overline{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}$ coincides with $\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}$ whenever it is defined. Given a morphism $f \in \widetilde{\Delta}_{IJ}(\,(m_I,m,m_J)\,,\,(n_I,n,n_J)\,)$ with image $(f_I,f_\bullet,f_J)$ in $\widetilde{\Delta}_I \times \widetilde{\Delta}_\bullet \times \widetilde{\Delta}_J$, we define $\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}(f)$ as the composition:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}(n_I,n,n_J) \arrow[r, "\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}(\cdot,n,n_J)(f_I)"] & \widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}(m_I,n,n_J) \\
{} \arrow[r, "\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}(m_I,\cdot,n_J)(f_\bullet)"] & \widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}(m_I,m,n_J) \\
{} \arrow[r, "\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}(m_I,m,\cdot)(f_J)"] & \widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}(m_i,m,m_J)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Similarly, given a morphism $f \in \overline{\Delta}_{IJ}(\,(m_I, m, m_J)\,,\,(n_I, n, n_J)\,)$ with image $(f_I, f_\bullet, f_J)$ in $\Delta_I \times \overline{\Delta}_\bullet \times \Delta_J$, we define $\overline{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}(f)$ as the composition:

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}(n_I, n, n_J) &\xrightarrow{\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}(\cdot,n,n_J)(f_I)} \overline{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}(m_I, n, n_J) \\
&\xrightarrow{\overline{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}(m_I,\cdot,n_J)(f_\bullet)} \overline{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}(m_I, m, n_J) \\
&\xrightarrow{\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}(m_I,m,\cdot)(f_J)} \overline{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}(m_i, m, m_J)
\end{aligned}$$

We can easily check that these are well defined functors. The crucial observation is that given any $(\mathscr{L}_+^{\mathbb{H}}, \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}})$-pair $(X_M, X)$, and given $f \in \mathscr{L}_+^{\mathbb{H}}(a+b)$ with image $(f_a, f_b) \in \mathscr{L}_+^{\mathbb{H}}(a) \times \mathscr{L}_+^{\mathbb{H}}(b)$, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X^a \times X_M \times X^b \arrow[r, "f_a \times \mathbf{1}"] \arrow[d, "\mathbf{1} \times f_b"'] \arrow[dr, "f"] & X_M \times X^b \arrow[d, "f_b"] \\
X^a \times X_M \arrow[r, "f_a"'] & X_M
\end{tikzcd}$$

The restrictions of $\overline{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}$ and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}$ to $\Delta_{IJ}$ coincide and we call this functor $\mathfrak{B}_{IJ}$.

(3) We now define a diagonal functor $\widetilde{d}_{IJ} : \widetilde{\Delta}_{I\cup J} \to \widetilde{\Delta}_{IJ}$ given on objects by $n \mapsto (n, -1, n)$. To define $d_{IJ}$ on morphisms just observe that for any $\mu \in \Delta(m, n)$ the spaces of morphisms $\widetilde{\Delta}_{I\cup J,\mu}$ and $\widetilde{\Delta}_{IJ,\mu,\mathbf{1},\mu}$ are canonicaly homeomorphic. Now, $\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{I\cup J} = \widetilde{d}_{IJ}^{*}\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}$. Restricting $\widetilde{d}_{IJ}$ to $\Delta_{I\cup J}$ we obtain a functor $d_{IJ} : \Delta_{I\cup J} \to \Delta_{IJ}$ such that $d_{IJ}^{*}\mathfrak{B}_{IJ} = \mathfrak{B}_{I\cup J}$. We claim that the map $\|\mathfrak{B}_{I\cup J}\| \to \|\overline{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}\|$ induced by $d_{IJ}$ and the inclusion $\Delta_{IJ} \to \overline{\Delta}_{IJ}$ is a homotopy equivalence. To see this let $\overline{\Delta}_{IJ}[-1] \subset \overline{\Delta}_{IJ}$ be the cofinal full subcategory with objects $(n_I, -1, n_J)$. Then $d_{IJ} : \Delta_{I\cup J} \to \overline{\Delta}_{IJ}$ factors through $\overline{\Delta}_{IJ}[-1]$ and we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Delta_{I\cup J} \arrow[r, "d_{IJ}"] \arrow[d] & \overline{\Delta}_{IJ}[-1] \arrow[d] \\
\Delta \arrow[r, "d"] & \Delta \times \Delta
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $d$ is the diagonal functor. Since $d$ is cofinal and the vertical maps are equivalences of categories, the result follows. From the pullback diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Delta_{IJ} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Delta_I \times \Delta_\bullet \times \Delta_J \arrow[d] \\
\overline{\Delta}_{IJ} \arrow[r] & \Delta_I \times \overline{\Delta}_\bullet \times \Delta_J
\end{tikzcd}$$

we see that the inclusion $i : \Delta_{IJ} \to \overline{\Delta}_{IJ}$ is cofinal so $\|\mathfrak{B}_{IJ}\| \simeq \|\overline{\mathfrak{B}}_{IJ}\|$.

(4) We will now define a functor $\widetilde{F} : \widetilde{\Delta}_I^{\mathbb{H}_{IJ}} \times \Delta_\bullet^{\mathbb{H}_\emptyset} \times \widetilde{\Delta}_J^{\mathbb{H}_{IJ}} \to \widetilde{\Delta}_{IJ}^{\mathbb{H}}$. $\widetilde{F}$ is the identity on objects. We will define an equivalence of categories $F : \Delta_I^{\mathbb{H}_{IJ}} \times \Delta_\bullet^{\mathbb{H}_\emptyset} \times \Delta_J^{\mathbb{H}_{IJ}} \to \Delta_{IJ}^{\mathbb{H}}$. To define $F$ on morphisms we define a map $\mathscr{L}_+^{\mathbb{H}_\emptyset}(a) \times \mathscr{L}_+^{\mathbb{H}_{IJ}}(b) \to \mathscr{L}_+^{\mathbb{H}}(a+b)$ as follows: using matrix notation, the image of a

pair of isometries

$$\begin{bmatrix} f_\emptyset & g_\emptyset \end{bmatrix} : a\mathbb{H}_\emptyset \oplus \mathbb{H}_\emptyset \to \mathbb{H}_\emptyset$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} g_{IJ} & h_{IJ} \end{bmatrix} : \mathbb{H}_{IJ} \oplus b\mathbb{H}_{IJ} \to \mathbb{H}_{IJ}$$

is the isometry

$$\begin{bmatrix} f_\emptyset \otimes \mathbf{1} & g_\emptyset \otimes g_{IJ} & \mathbf{1} \otimes h_{IJ} \end{bmatrix} : a\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H} \oplus b\mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}$$

Now, $B(F^*\mathfrak{B}_{IJ}, \Delta_I^{\mathbb{H}_{IJ}} \times \Delta_J^{\mathbb{H}_{IJ}}, *) \cong \mathfrak{B}(\|\mathfrak{B}_I\|, \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset, \|\mathfrak{B}_J\|)$ and hence

$$\|F^*\mathfrak{B}_{IJ}\| \cong B(B(F^*\mathfrak{B}_{IJ}, \Delta_I^{\mathbb{H}_{IJ}} \times \Delta_J^{\mathbb{H}_{IJ}}, *), \Delta_\bullet^{\mathbb{H}_\emptyset}, *) \cong \|\mathfrak{B}(\|S_I\|, \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset, \|S_J\|)\|. \quad \square$$

4.1. **The map $h : \mathfrak{B} \to \mathfrak{M}$.** We now describe a natural transformation betwen $\|\mathfrak{B}\|$ and $\mathfrak{M}$ seen as functors from $\mathfrak{C}$to $hTop$.

**Definition 4.1.** Let $\widetilde{\Delta}$ be the category whose objects are those of $\Delta$ plus the empty set, and whose morphisms are the order preserving maps. Let $\widetilde{\Delta}_I$ be the category equivalent to $\widetilde{\Delta}$, with morphisms $\widetilde{\Delta}_I(m,n) = \Delta_I(m,n)$ and $\widetilde{\Delta}_I(\emptyset,n) = \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}(1+(n+1)I)$. We define a functor $\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_I : \widetilde{\Delta}_I^{op} \to Top$. $\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_I$ coincides with $\mathfrak{B}_I$ on $\Delta_I$, $\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_I(\emptyset) = \mathfrak{M}_I^{\mathbb{H}}$ and, given $f \in \widetilde{\Delta}_I(\emptyset, n)$, $\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_I(f)$ is the composition

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_I(f) : \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset \times \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset^{nI} \times \prod_{x\in I} \mathfrak{M}_x \xrightarrow{\pi_{\emptyset,I}^* \times (\pi_{\emptyset,I}^*)^I \times \prod \pi_{x,I}^*} \mathfrak{M}_I \times (\mathfrak{M}_I^{\mathbb{H}})^{nI} \times (\mathfrak{M}_I^{\mathbb{H}})^I \xrightarrow{f} \mathfrak{M}_I$$

**Proposition 4.7.** $\|\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}\|$ *is a functor from* $\mathfrak{C}$ *to* $hTop$*.*

Since $\emptyset$ is a final object in $\widetilde{\Delta}$, we get

**Proposition 4.8.** *The map* $\mathfrak{M}_I = \widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_I(\emptyset) \to \|\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_I\|$ *is a homotopy equivalence.*

Then we have maps

$$\|\mathfrak{B}_I\| \to \|\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_I\| \overset{\simeq}{\leftarrow} \mathfrak{M}_I$$

**Theorem 5.** $h_x : B(S_x, \Delta_x, *) \to B(T_x, \Delta_x, *)$ *is a homotopy equivalence.*

This shows that conjecture 1 holds for $\#I = 1$.

*Proof.* We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Delta_x \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \overline{\Delta}_x \arrow[r, "\overline{\mathfrak{B}}_x"] & Top \\
\widetilde{\Delta}_x \arrow[ur] & * \arrow[l, "\emptyset"] \arrow[u, "-1"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

from which we get the commutatice diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\|\mathfrak{B}_x\| \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d] & \|\overline{\mathfrak{B}}_x\| \\
\|\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_x\| \arrow[ur] & \mathfrak{M}_x \arrow[l, "\simeq"'] \arrow[u, "\simeq"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

The result follows. $\square$

## 5. Proof of theorem 1

In this section we fix $I \in \mathfrak{C}$. For each morphism $j : J \to I$ it will be convenient to replace, for each morphism $j : J \to I$, the spaces $\|\mathfrak{B}_J\|$ with the homotopicaly equivalent spaces $\|\Delta_j^*\mathfrak{B}_J\|$:

**Definition 5.1.** Let $\mathfrak{C}_I$ be the full subcategory of $\mathfrak{C}$ whose objects are the subsets of $I$. It is convenient to think of the objects as pairs $(J, j)$ where $j$ is the unique morphism $J \to I$. Let $\Delta^*\mathfrak{B} : \Delta_I \times \mathfrak{C}_I \to Top$ be the functor defined on objects by $\Delta^*\mathfrak{B}(J, j, n) = \Delta_j^*\mathfrak{B}_J(n)$; Given morphisms $i : (J_1, j_1) \to (J_2, j_2)$ and $f \in \Delta_I(m, n)$, we define $\Delta^*\mathfrak{B}(f, i)$ by the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Delta_{j_1}^*\mathfrak{B}_{J_1}(n) \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "i"'] & \Delta_{j_1}^*\mathfrak{B}_{J_1}(m) \arrow[d, "i"] \\
\Delta_{j_2}^*\mathfrak{B}_{J_2}(n) \arrow[r, "f"] & \Delta_{j_2}^*\mathfrak{B}_{J_2}(m)
\end{tikzcd}$$

We define similarly the functor $\Delta^*\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}} : \widetilde{\Delta}_I \times \mathfrak{C}_I \to Top$.

For each $n \in \widetilde{\Delta}_I$ and $J \in \mathfrak{C}_I$ the topological space $\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_J(n)$ is naturally graded as a product of graded spaces. Denote by $\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{J,k}(n)$ the degree $k$ component. The maps $\Delta^*\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}(f, i)$ preserve degree so we have a degree $k$ functor $\Delta^*\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_k : \widetilde{\Delta}_I \times \mathfrak{C}_I \to Top$. Restricting to $\Delta_I$ we have the functor $\Delta^*\mathfrak{B}_k : \Delta_I \times \mathfrak{C}_I \to Top$. We let $\|\Delta^*\mathfrak{B}_k\|, \|\Delta^*\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_k\| : \mathfrak{C}_I \to Top$ be the functors

$$\|\Delta^*\mathfrak{B}_k\| = B(\Delta^*\mathfrak{B}_k, \Delta_I, *) \qquad \|\Delta^*\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_k\| = B(\Delta^*\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_k, \widetilde{\Delta}_I, *)$$

Let $\mathfrak{C}_{I,k} \subset \mathfrak{C}_I$ be the full subcategory whose objects satisfy $\#J \leq k$. The maps $\mathfrak{B}_j : \|\mathfrak{B}_{J,k}\| to \|\mathfrak{B}_{I,k}\|$ induce a map $b : B(*, \mathfrak{C}_{I,k}, \|\Delta^*\mathfrak{B}_k\|) \to \|\mathfrak{B}_{I,k}\|$ and similarly we have the map $\widetilde{b} : B(*, \mathfrak{C}_{I,k}, \|\Delta^*\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_k\|) \to \|\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{I,k}\|$. We will show that

**Proposition 5.1.** *The maps $b, \widetilde{b}$ are homotopy equivalences.*

As an imediate corollary we get

**Corollary 5.2.** *If, for any $J \in \mathfrak{C}_{I,k}$, the map $\|\mathfrak{B}_{J,k}\| \to \|\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{J,k}\|$ is a homotopy equivalence, then the map $\|\mathfrak{B}_{J,k}\| \to \|\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{J,k}\|$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

*Proof.* We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
B(*, \mathfrak{C}_{I,k}, \|\Delta^*\mathfrak{B}_k\|) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & B(*, \mathfrak{C}_{I,k}, \|\Delta^*\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_k\|) \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
\|\mathfrak{B}_{I,k}\| \arrow[r] & \|\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{I,k}\|
\end{tikzcd}$$

and by hypothesis the top horizontal map is a homotopy equivalence. The result follows. ☐

Theorem 1 imediately follows.

From theorem 5 we get as an immediate corollary theorem 2 for $k = 1$:

**Corollary 5.3.** *$h_{I,1}$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

All that is left is to prove proposition 5.1:

*Proof.* There are two statements to prove:

(1) We first show that $b$ is a homotopy equivalence. Since

$$B(*, \mathfrak{C}_{I,k}, \|\Delta^*\mathfrak{B}_k\|) = B(*, \mathfrak{C}_{I,k}, B(\Delta^*\mathfrak{B}_k, \Delta_I, *)) \cong B(B(*, \mathfrak{C}_{I,k}, \Delta^*\mathfrak{B}_k), \Delta_I, *)$$

it is enough to show that the natural transformation

$$B(*, \mathfrak{C}_{I,k}, \Delta^*\mathfrak{B}_k) \to \mathfrak{B}_{I,k}$$

is a weak equivalence. Fix $[n] \in \Delta_I$. The basic observation is that given a morphism $i : J \to J'$, the maps $\mathfrak{B}_i(n) : \mathfrak{B}_J(n) \to \mathfrak{B}_{J'}(n)$ restricted to each connected component of $\mathfrak{B}_J(n)$ are homeomorphisms onto a component of $\mathfrak{B}_{J'}(n)$. We write it explicitly. For each $J \in \mathfrak{C}_I$ let $\mathbb{Z}_{J,k} \subset \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^{nJ} \times \mathbb{Z}^J$ be the subset of the tuples on non-negative integers whose sum is $k$. We write an element $\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{J,k}$ as $\vec{k} = \left( k_0\, ,\ (k_{\alpha x})_{\substack{x \in J \\ \alpha = 1,\dots,n}}\, ,\ (k_x)_{x \in J} \right)$. Then

$$\Delta^*\mathfrak{B}_k(J, n) = \mathfrak{B}_{J,k}(n) = \coprod_{\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{J,k}} \mathfrak{B}_{J,\vec{k}}(n)$$

where

$$\mathfrak{B}_{J,\vec{k}}(n) = \mathfrak{M}_{\emptyset,k_0} \times \Big( \prod_{\substack{\alpha=1,\dots,n \\ x \in J}} \mathfrak{M}_{\emptyset,k_{\alpha x}} \Big) \times \Big( \prod_{x \in J} \mathfrak{M}_{x,k_x} \Big)$$

and given a morphism $i : J \to J'$ the map $\Delta^*\mathfrak{B}_k(\mathbf{1}, i)$ $(= \mathfrak{B}_i(n))$ is just the canonical inclusion. Now for each $\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{I,k}$ let $\mathfrak{C}_{I,\vec{k}} \subset \mathfrak{C}_{I,k}$ denote the full subcategory whose objects $J$ satisfy $\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{J,k}$ under the canonical inclusion $\mathbb{Z}_{J,k} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{I,k}$. Notice that $\mathfrak{C}_{I,\vec{k}}$ is never empty. Let $\mathfrak{B}_{\vec{k}}(n) : \mathfrak{C}_{I,\vec{k}} \to Top$ denote the constant functor given on objects by $J \mapsto \mathfrak{B}_{J,\vec{k}}(n)$. Then

$$B(*, \mathfrak{C}_{I,k}, \Delta^*\mathfrak{B}_k)(n) \cong \coprod_{\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{I,k}} B(*, \mathfrak{C}_{I,\vec{k}}, \mathfrak{B}_{\vec{k}}(n))$$

Since $\mathfrak{C}_{I,\vec{k}}$ has an initial object, namely the intersection of all its objects, $B(*, \mathfrak{C}_{I,\vec{k}}, \mathfrak{B}_{\vec{k}}) \cong \mathfrak{B}_{I,\vec{k}}(n)$ hence

$$B(*, \mathfrak{C}_{I,k}, \Delta^*\mathfrak{B}_k)(n) \cong \coprod_{\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{I,k}} \mathfrak{B}_{I,\vec{k}}(n) = \mathfrak{B}_{I,k}(n)$$

which concludes the proof.

(2) Now we prove that $\widetilde{b}$ is a homotopy equivalence. Denote by $\mathfrak{M}_k : \mathfrak{C} \to Top$ the degree $k$ component of the functor $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{C} \to Top$. It was shown in [12] that the restriction of $\mathfrak{M}_k$ to $\mathfrak{C}_{I,k}$ is homeomorphic to the nerve of an open cover of $\mathfrak{M}_{I,k}$. It follows that the map $B(*, \mathfrak{C}_{I,k}, \mathfrak{M}_k) \to \mathfrak{M}^{\mathbb{H}}_{I,k}$. is a homotopy equivalence. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
B(*, \mathfrak{C}_{I,k}, \mathfrak{M}_k) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & B(*, \mathfrak{C}_{I,k}, \|\Delta^*\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_k\|) \arrow[d] \\
\mathfrak{M}_{I,k} & \|\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{I,k}\|
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the horizontal maps are homotopy equivalences. The result follows. □

## 6. The case $k = 2$

We now prove theorem 2 for $k = 2$. By theorem 1, it is enough to consider the case when $\#I = 2$. So in this section we fix $I = \{x, y\} \in \mathfrak{C}$. Since the maps $\|\mathfrak{B}_x\| \to \|\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_x\|$ and $\|\mathfrak{B}_y\| \to \|\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_y\|$ are homotopy equivalences, we have a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\|\mathfrak{B}(\|\mathfrak{B}_x\|, \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset, \|\mathfrak{B}_y\|)\| \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \|\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{M}_x, \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset, \mathfrak{M}_y)\| \arrow[d] \\
\|\mathfrak{B}_I\| \arrow[r] & \mathfrak{M}_I
\end{tikzcd}$$

and hence we only have to show that the map $\|\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{M}_x, \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset, \mathfrak{M}_y)\| \to \|\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathfrak{M}_x, \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset, \mathfrak{M}_y)\|$ is a homotopy equivalence. We will need the following result:

**Proposition 6.1** ([12])**.** *There are open embeddings*

$$\begin{aligned}
\boxplus_I &: \mathfrak{M}_{x,1}V \times \mathfrak{M}_{y,1}V \to \mathfrak{M}_{I,2} \\
\boxplus_x &: \mathfrak{M}_{x,1}V \times \mathfrak{M}_{\emptyset,1}V \to \mathfrak{M}_{x,2} \\
\boxplus_y &: \mathfrak{M}_{\emptyset,1}V \times \mathfrak{M}_{y,1}V \to \mathfrak{M}_{y,2} \\
\boxplus_\emptyset &: \mathfrak{M}_{\emptyset,1}V \times \mathfrak{M}_{\emptyset,1}V \to \mathfrak{M}_{\emptyset,2}
\end{aligned}$$

*obeying the relations*

$$\begin{aligned}
\boxplus_I \circ (\mathbf{1} \times \pi^*_{\emptyset,y}) &= \pi^*_{x,I} \circ \boxplus_x \\
\boxplus_I \circ (\pi^*_{\emptyset,x} \times \mathbf{1}) &= \pi^*_{y,I} \circ \boxplus_y \\
\boxplus_I \circ (\pi^*_{\emptyset,x} \times \pi^*_{\emptyset,y}) &= \pi^*_{\emptyset,I} \circ \boxplus_\emptyset
\end{aligned}$$

*Furthermore, if we let $N_2, A_x, A_y$ denote the images of the open embeddings $\boxplus_I, \pi^*_{x,I}, \pi^*_{y,I}$ respectively then $\{A_x, A_y, N_2\}$ is an open cover of $\mathfrak{M}_{I,2}V$ and the following maps are homeomorphisms:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi^*_{\emptyset,I} &: \mathfrak{M}_{\emptyset,2}V \to A_x \cap A_y \\
\pi^*_{x,I} \circ \boxplus_x &: \mathfrak{M}_{x,1}V \times \mathfrak{M}_{\emptyset,1}V \to A_x \cap N_2 \\
\pi^*_{y,I} \circ \boxplus_y &: \mathfrak{M}_{\emptyset,1}V \times \mathfrak{M}_{y,1}V \to A_y \cap N_2 \\
\pi^*_{\emptyset,I} \circ \boxplus_\emptyset &: \mathfrak{M}_{\emptyset,1}V \times \mathfrak{M}_{\emptyset,1}V \to A_x \cap A_y \cap N_2
\end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 6.2.** *Let $J_1 \in \{\emptyset, x\}$, $J_n \in \{\emptyset, y\}$ and let $J_\alpha = \emptyset$ for $\alpha = 2, \ldots, n-1$. Let $J = \bigcup J_\alpha = J_1 \cup J_n$. Also let $k_\alpha \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ be such that $k = \sum k_\alpha \le 2$. Then there is a map*

$$\boxplus : \prod_{\alpha=1}^{m} \mathfrak{M}^{\mathbb{H}}_{J_\alpha, k_\alpha} \to \mathfrak{M}^{\mathbb{H}}_{J,k}$$

*obtained from $\boxplus_I, \boxplus_x, \boxplus_y, \boxplus_\emptyset$ by treating $* \in \mathfrak{M}_{J_\alpha,0}$ as the identity. $\boxplus$ has the following properties:*

1. *For any $f \in \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}(1)$ we have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\prod \mathfrak{M}^{\mathbb{H}}_{J_\alpha,k_\alpha} \arrow[r, "\boxplus"] \arrow[d, "f \times \ldots \times f"'] & \mathfrak{M}^{\mathbb{H}}_{J,k} \arrow[d, "f"] \\
\prod \mathfrak{M}^{\mathbb{H}}_{J_\alpha,k_\alpha} \arrow[r, "\boxplus"] & \mathfrak{M}^{\mathbb{H}}_{J,k}
\end{tikzcd}$$

(2) *Let* $\imath_i : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}^n$ *be inclusion onto the* $i$*-th component. Then we have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\prod \mathfrak{M}^{\mathbb{H}}_{J_\alpha,k_\alpha} \arrow[r, "\omega"] \arrow[d, "\prod \imath_\alpha"'] & \mathfrak{M}^{n\mathbb{H}}_{J,k} \\
\prod \mathfrak{M}^{n\mathbb{H}}_{J_\alpha,k_\alpha} \arrow[ur, "\boxplus"] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

**Proposition 6.3.** *The map* $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{M}_x, \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset, \mathfrak{M}_y) \to \widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathfrak{M}_x, \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset, \mathfrak{M}_y)$ *is a homotopy equivalence.*

*Proof.* Let $\imath_i : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}^n$ be inclusion onto the $i$-th component. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the operad with $\mathcal{O}(n)$ the space of complex linear maps $n\mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}$ such that $f \circ \imath_i \in \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}(1)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, with operad data $\gamma$ given by composition. We fix basepoints $* \in \mathcal{O}(n)$ given in matrix notation by

$$* = [\, \mathbf{1} \quad \cdots \quad \mathbf{1} \,] : n\mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}$$

For any $f \in \mathcal{O}(n)$ and each $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$, let $f_\alpha = f \circ \imath_\alpha : \mathfrak{M}^{\mathbb{H}}_J \to \mathfrak{M}^{\mathbb{H}}_J$. In the conditions of proposition 6.2 we can define maps

$$\boxplus_f : \prod_{\alpha=1}^{n} \mathfrak{M}^{\mathbb{H}}_{J_\alpha,k_\alpha} \overset{\prod f_\alpha}{\longrightarrow} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{n} \mathfrak{M}^{\mathbb{H}}_{J_\alpha,k_\alpha} \overset{\boxplus}{\longrightarrow} \mathfrak{M}^{\mathbb{H}}_{J,k} \tag{5}$$

Note that if $f = *$, $\boxplus_f = \boxplus$. $\boxplus_f$ is compatible with the operad data in the following sense: Given $f \in \mathcal{O}(n)$ and $g_i \in \mathcal{O}(j_i)$ we have the commutative diagram (where $f_i = f \circ \imath_i$ and $g_{i\alpha} = g_i \circ \imath_\alpha$):

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{j_i} \mathfrak{M} \arrow[r, "\prod_i \prod_\alpha f_i \circ g_{i\alpha}"] \arrow[d, "\prod_i \prod_\alpha g_{i\alpha}"'] & \prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{j_i} \mathfrak{M} \arrow[r, "\boxplus"] \arrow[dr, "\prod_i \boxplus"'] & \mathfrak{M} \\
\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{j_i} \mathfrak{M} \arrow[r, "\prod_i \boxplus"'] \arrow[ur, "\prod_i \prod_\alpha f_i"'] & \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathfrak{M} \arrow[r, "\prod_i f_i"'] & \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathfrak{M} \arrow[u, "\boxplus"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

(the lower triangle is commutative by proposition 6.2). $\boxplus_f$ does not make $(\mathfrak{M}_x, \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset)$ and $(\mathfrak{M}_y, \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset)$ into $(\mathcal{O}_+, \mathcal{O})$-pairs but the construction of definition 3.7 makes sense for the degree two components and hence we have a functor $\mathcal{F} : \Delta(\mathcal{O}_+, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_+) \to$ *Top*. The inclusion of operads $\mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}} \subset \mathcal{O}$ induces an equivalence of categories $\Delta(\mathscr{L}_+, \mathscr{L}, \mathscr{L}_+) \to \Delta(\mathcal{O}_+, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_+)$. For $f \in \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{H}}(n) \subset \mathcal{O}(n)$ we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\prod_{\alpha=1}^{n} \mathfrak{M}^{\mathbb{H}}_{J_\alpha,k_\alpha} \arrow[r, "\prod \imath_\alpha"] \arrow[dr, "\oplus"'] & \prod_{\alpha=1}^{n} \mathfrak{M}^{n\mathbb{H}}_{J_\alpha,k_\alpha} \arrow[r, "\prod f"] \arrow[d, "\boxplus"] & \prod_{\alpha=1}^{n} \mathfrak{M}^{\mathbb{H}}_{J_\alpha,k_\alpha} \arrow[d, "\boxplus"] \\
& \mathfrak{M}^{n\mathbb{H}}_{J,k} \arrow[r, "f"] & \mathfrak{M}^{\mathbb{H}}_{J,k}
\end{tikzcd}$$

so the restriction of $\mathcal{F}$ to $\Delta(\mathscr{L}_+, \mathscr{L}, \mathscr{L}_+)$ is precisely $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{M}_x, \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset, \mathfrak{M}_y)$.

We have a map from the trivial operad $\mathcal{T}$ to $\mathcal{O}$ which sends $* \in \mathcal{T}(n)$ to the basepoint $* \in \mathcal{O}(n)$. This map induces an equivalence of categories $\Delta \to \Delta(\mathcal{O}_+, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_+)$.

The restriction of $\mathcal{F}$ to $\Delta$ is the nerve $\mathcal{N}$ of the open cover $\{A_x, A_y, N_2\}$. So we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{B} \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{F} \arrow[d] & \mathcal{N} \arrow[l, "\simeq"'] \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}} \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & \widetilde{\mathcal{F}} & \widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \arrow[l, "\simeq"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

which completes the proof. $\square$

## 7. Group completion

We now consider the linit when $k \to \infty$. We begin by fixin a universe $\mathbb{H}$. To each complex hermitian vector space $V$ we associate the space $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}V = \mathfrak{M}_{\dim V}\mathbb{H} \otimes V$. Then we have a map

$$\omega : \overline{\mathfrak{M}}V \times \overline{\mathfrak{M}}W \to \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(V \oplus W)$$

Given an isometry $\alpha : V \to W$, from the induced isometry $\mathbb{H} \otimes V \to \mathbb{H} \otimes W$ we get a map $\alpha : \overline{\mathfrak{M}}V \to \overline{\mathfrak{M}}W$. As before, $T\mathbb{H}$ inherits the structure of an $\mathscr{L}$-space.

**Proposition 7.1.** *As before, $T\mathbb{H}$ inherits the structure of an $\mathscr{L}$-space. Dirac map works too.*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{M}_\emptyset, \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset^I, \prod \mathfrak{M}_x) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\not\partial"'] & \widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathfrak{M}_\emptyset, \mathfrak{M}_\emptyset^I, \prod \mathfrak{M}_x) \arrow[d, "\not\partial"'] & \mathfrak{M}_I \arrow[l] \arrow[d, "\not\partial"] \\
\mathfrak{B}(BU, BU^I, (BU \times BU)^I) \arrow[r] & \widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}(BU, BU^I, (BU \times BU)^I) & BU \times BU^I \arrow[l]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Now let $\mathscr{P}_\infty$ denote the little cubes operad. Let $U$ be the infinite unitary group, let $\Omega U$ be the loop space based at the identity and let $\Omega_0 U$ be the commected component of the constant loop. Consider $K$-theory spectrum:

$$K = \lim_{\to} \Omega^{2n+1} U$$

Let $\theta : \mathscr{P} \to \mathcal{E}(K)$ be the standard $\mathscr{P}$-space structure on $K$ and let $\theta_M : \mathscr{P}_+ \to \mathcal{E}(K \times K, K)$ be defined as follows: given $f \in \mathscr{P}_+(n)$, $x \in K^n$ and $(a,b) \in K \times K$ we let

$$\theta_M(f)(x,a,b) = (\,\theta(f)(x,a)\,,\,\theta(f)(x,b)\,)$$

**Proposition 7.2.** *The inclusion $\mathfrak{B}(K, K^I, (K \times K)^I) \to \widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}(K, K^I, (K \times K)^I)$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

First we define an operad map $\theta_1 : \mathscr{P}_+ \to \mathcal{E}_{\Omega U^2}$ as follows: given $f \in \mathcal{O}_+(n)$, $x \in \Omega U^n$ and $(a,b) \in \Omega U^2$ we let

$$\theta_1(f)(x,a,b) = (\,\theta(x,a)\,,\,\theta(*,b)\,)$$

where $* \in \Omega U^n$ is the base point. Now, using the group structure on $K$ coming from $U$ we define a map $\tau : K \times K \to K \times K$ by $\tau_1(x,y) = (x, y^{-1}x)$. Then, for any $f \in \mathscr{P}_+(n)$ we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K^n \times (K \times K) \arrow[r, "\mathbf{1}\times\tau"] \arrow[d, "\theta_M(f)"'] & K^n \times (K \times K) \arrow[r, "\mathbf{1}\times\tau^{-1}"] \arrow[d, "\theta_1(f)"'] & K^n \times (K \times K) \\
K \times K \arrow[r, "\tau"] & K \times K \arrow[r, "\tau"] & K \times K
\end{tikzcd}$$

Thus $\tau, \tau^{-1}$ induce a homeomorphism

$$\mathfrak{B}(K, K^I, \theta^I, (K \times K)^I, \theta^I_M) \to \mathfrak{B}(K, K^I, \theta^I, (K \times K)^I, \theta^I_1)$$

Now, for any $x, y \in \Omega U^n$ and any $f \in \mathcal{O}(n)$, $\theta(f)(x \cdot y) = \theta(f)(x) \cdot \theta(f)(y)$. So $\tau$ is compatible with the operad structures.

## 8. Homology

**Proposition 8.1.** *Let $\mathcal{F} : \Delta_I \to Top$ be a functor. Then there is a spectral sequence with $E^1$ term*

$$E^1_{p,q} = H_q(\mathcal{F}(p))$$

*converging to the homology of the homotopy colimit $B(\mathcal{F}, \Delta_I, *)$.*

*Proof.* The functor $p : \Delta_I \to \Delta$ is an equivalence of categories and Segal's pushdown $p_{h*}\mathcal{F} = B(\mathcal{F}, \Delta_I, \Delta)$ is a simplicial space whose geometrical realization $|p_{h*}\mathcal{F}|$ is homotopically equivalent to $B(\mathcal{F}, \Delta_I, *)$. There is a spectral sequence converging to the homology of $|p_{h*}\mathcal{F}|$ with $E^1_{p,q} = H_q(p_{h*}\mathcal{F}(n))$. The result follows since $p_{h*}\mathcal{F}(n)$ and $\mathcal{F}(n)$ are homotopically equivalent. □

**Lemma 8.2.** *Consider the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{M}_\emptyset \times \mathfrak{M}_x \arrow[r, "m"] \arrow[d] & \mathfrak{M}_x \arrow[d, "i"] \\
\hat{\mathfrak{M}}_\emptyset \times \hat{\mathfrak{M}}_x \arrow[r, "\hat{m}"] & \hat{\mathfrak{M}}_x
\end{tikzcd}$$

*Let $\gamma \in H_*(\mathfrak{M}_x)$ be such that $i_*(\gamma)$ is in the image of $\hat{m}_*$. Then $\gamma$ is in the image of $m_*$.*

*Proof.* $\hat{\mathfrak{M}}_\emptyset \cong \mathfrak{M}_{\emptyset,\infty} \times \mathbb{Z}$. We introduce a grading in $H_*(\mathfrak{M}_{\emptyset,\infty})$ by

$$|\alpha| = \min\{k : \alpha \in \operatorname{Im}\left( H_*(\mathfrak{M}_{\emptyset,k}) \to H_*(\mathfrak{M}_{\emptyset,\infty}) \right)\}$$

Pick $\hat{\eta} = \sum \hat{\alpha}_i \otimes \hat{\beta}_i \in H_*(\hat{\mathfrak{M}}_\emptyset \times \hat{\mathfrak{M}}_x)$ such that $\hat{m}_*(\hat{\eta}) = i_*(x)$.

□

## Appendix A. Monads

Fix $I = \{x, y\} \in \mathfrak{C}$. In this appendix we describe the open cover $\{A_x, A_y, N_2\}$ of $\mathfrak{M}_{I,2}V$, the spaces $N'_x$, $N'_y$, and the map $\boxplus : N'_x \times N'_y \to N_2$. The maps $\pi^*_{x,I}, \pi^*_{y,I}$ are open embeddings (see [12]) and we let $A_x = Im(\pi^*_{x,I})$, $A_y = Im(\pi^*_{y,I}$. To describe the other spaces we need the monad description of the moduli spaces, introduced in [4], [6], which we briefly review here.

Let $W_0, W_1$ be rank $k$ complex vector spaces. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be the space of 4-tuples $(a_1, a_2, b, c)$ where $a_i \in \operatorname{End}(W_1)$, $b \in \operatorname{Hom}(V, W_1)$ and $c \in \operatorname{Hom}(W_1, V)$, obeying the integrability condition $[a_1, a_2] + bc = 0$. Let $\mathcal{R}'$ be the space of 5-tuples $(a'_1, a'_2, d', b', c')$ where $a'_i \in \operatorname{Hom}(W_1, W_0)$, $d' \in \operatorname{Hom}(W_0, W_1)$, $b' \in \operatorname{Hom}(V, W_0)$

and $c' \in \mathrm{Hom}(W_1, V)$, such that $a'_1(W_1) + a'_2(W_1) + b'(V) = W_0$, obeying the integrability condition $a_1 da_2 - a_2 da_1 + bc = 0$. $Gl(W_1)$ and $Gl(W_0) \times Gl(W_1)$ act by composition on $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}'$ respectivelly. A 4-tuple $(a_1, a_2, b, c)$ is called nondegenerate if, for any subspace $U_1 \subset W_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Im}\, b \subset U_1 \text{ and } a_i(U_1) \subset U_1 \ (i = 1, 2) &\implies U_1 = W_1 \\ U_1 \subset \mathrm{Kerc} \text{ and } a_i(U_1) \subset U_1 \ (i = 1, 2) &\implies U_1 = \emptyset \end{aligned}$$

A 5-tuple $(a'_1, a'_2, d', b', c')$ is called nondegenerate if, for any subspaces $U_0 \subset W_0$ and $U_1 \subset W_1$ such that $\dim U_0 = \dim U_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Im}\, b' \subset U_0 \text{ and } d'(U_0) \subset U_1 \text{ and } a'_i(U_1) \subset U_0 \ (i = 1, 2) &\implies U_i = W_i \ (i = 1, 2) \\ U_1 \subset \mathrm{Kerc}' \text{ and } d'(U_0) \subset U_1 \text{ and } a'_i(U_1) \subset U_1 \ (i = 1, 2) &\implies U_i = \emptyset \ (i = 1, 2) \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{R}_{reg}$, $\mathcal{R}'_{reg}$ denote the subspaces of nondegenerate configurations.

**Theorem** (Donaldson [4], King [6]). *The actions of $Gl(W_1)$ and $Gl(W_0) \times Gl(W_1)$ on $\mathcal{R}_{reg}$, $\mathcal{R}'_{reg}$ are free and we have isomorphisms*

$$\mathcal{R}_{reg}/Gl(W_1) \cong \mathfrak{M}_{\emptyset,k}V \qquad \mathcal{R}'_{reg}/(\,Gl(W_0) \times Gl(W_1)\,) \cong \mathfrak{M}_{x,k}V$$

*The algebraic quotients $\mathcal{R}//Gl(W_1)$, $\mathcal{R}'//Gl(W_0) \times Gl(W_1)$ are isomorphic to the Donaldson-Uhlenbeck completions $\bar{\mathfrak{M}}_{\emptyset,k}V$, $\bar{\mathfrak{M}}_{x,k}V$ of $\mathfrak{M}_{\emptyset,k}V$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{x,k}V$ respectivelly.*

Given an isometry $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(V_1, V_2)$, we get a dual map $\alpha^* : V_2^* \cong V_2 \to V_1^* \cong V_1$. The map $\mathfrak{M}\alpha : \mathfrak{M}V_1 \to \mathfrak{M}V_2$ is induced by $b \mapsto b \circ \alpha^*$, $c \mapsto \alpha \circ c$. Whitney sum $\omega : \mathfrak{M}_{\emptyset,k}V \times \mathfrak{M}_{\emptyset,k'}V' \to \mathfrak{M}_{k+k'}(V \oplus V')$ is induced by direct sum: let $a_i \in \mathrm{End}(W_1)$, $b \in \mathrm{Hom}(V, W_1)$, $c \in \mathrm{Hom}(W_1, V)$, and let $a'_i \in \mathrm{End}(W'_1)$, $b' \in \mathrm{Hom}(V', W'_1)$, $c' \in \mathrm{Hom}(W'_1, V')$. Then

$$\omega([a_1, a_2, b, c], [a'_1, a'_2, b', c']) = [a_1 \oplus a'_1, a_2 \oplus a'_2, b \oplus b', c \oplus c']$$

Corresponding results hold for Whitney sum on $\mathfrak{M}_x, \mathfrak{M}_y$. Now write $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ and fix an isomorphism $d : W_0 \to W_1$. Then the map $\pi^*_{\emptyset,x} : \mathfrak{M}_{\emptyset,k}V \to \mathfrak{M}_{x,k}V$ is given by

$$\pi^*_{\emptyset,x}(\,[a_1, a_2, b, c]\,) = [d^{-1}(a_1 - x_1\mathbf{1}), d^{-1}(a_2 - x_2\mathbf{1}), d, d^{-1}b, c]$$

Fix $\delta > 0$ and let

$$\begin{aligned} N'_x &= \{[a'_1, a'_2, d', b', c'] \in \mathfrak{M}_{x,1}V \ : \ |d'a'_1| < \delta\} \\ N'_\emptyset(x) &= \{[a_1, a_2, b, c] \in \mathfrak{M}_{\emptyset,1}V \ ; \ |a_1 - x_1| < \delta \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition A.1.** *There are homeomorphisms $N'_\emptyset \cong \mathfrak{M}_{\emptyset,1}V$, $N'_x \cong \mathfrak{M}_{x,1}V$.*

*Proof.* Let $M_\emptyset$ be the space of orthogonal vectors $u, v \in V$ modulo the action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ given by $g(u, v) = (gu, g^{-1}v)$ and let $M_x$ be the space of orthogonal vectors $(u, v) \in V$ modulo the action of $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$ given by $(g_0, g_1)(u, v) = (g_0 u, g_1^{-1} v)$. Then $\mathfrak{M}_{\emptyset,1}V = \mathbb{C}^2 \times M_\emptyset$ and the projection $\mathfrak{M}_{x,1} \to M_x$ given by $[a'_1, a'_2, d', b', c'] \to [b', c']$ is a fibre bundle with fiber $\mathbb{C}^3$. The result easily follows. $\square$

Now consider the map $\boxplus_\emptyset : N'_\emptyset(x) \times N'_\emptyset(y) \to \mathfrak{M}_{\emptyset,2}V$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} &[a_{1x}, a_{2x}, b_x, c_x] \boxplus_\emptyset [a_{1y}, a_{2y}, b_y, c_y] \\ &\qquad = \left[ \begin{pmatrix} a_{1x} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{1y} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_{2x} & \frac{b_x c_y}{a_{1y} - a_{1x}} \\ \frac{b_y c_x}{a_{1x} - a_{1y}} & a_{2y} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} b_x \\ b_y \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} c_x & c_y \end{pmatrix} \right] \end{aligned}$$

and the map $\boxplus_x : N'_x \times N'_\emptyset(z) \to \mathfrak{M}_{x,2}V$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&[a'_1, a'_2, d', b', c'] \boxplus_x [a_{1z}, a_{2z}, b_z, c_z] \\
&\qquad = \left[ \begin{pmatrix} a'_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a''_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a'_2 & \frac{b'c''}{d''a''_1 - d'a'_1} \\ \frac{b''c'}{d'a'_1 - d''a''_1} & a''_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} d' & 0 \\ 0 & d'' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b' \\ b'' \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} c' & c'' \end{pmatrix} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

where $[a''_1, a''_2, d'', b'', c''] = \pi^*_{\emptyset,y}[a_{1y}, a_{2y}, b_y, c_y]$. Now, straightforward computations show that

**Proposition A.2.**

(1) *There is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
N'_\emptyset \times N'_\emptyset \arrow[r, "\boxplus_\emptyset"] \arrow[d, "\pi^*_{\emptyset,x} \times \mathbf{1}"] & \mathfrak{M}_{\emptyset,2} \arrow[d, "\pi^*_{\emptyset,x}"] \\
N'_x \times N'_\emptyset \arrow[r, "\boxplus_x"] & \mathfrak{M}_{x,2}
\end{tikzcd}$$

(2) *Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(V, V')$. Then we have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{M}V \times \mathfrak{M}V \arrow[r, "\boxplus"] \arrow[d, "\mathfrak{M}\alpha \times \mathfrak{M}\alpha"] & \mathfrak{M}V \arrow[d, "\mathfrak{M}\alpha"] \\
\mathfrak{M}V' \times \mathfrak{M}V' \arrow[r, "\boxplus"] & \mathfrak{M}V'
\end{tikzcd}$$

(3) *Let $\imath : V \to V \oplus V'$, $\imath' : V' \to V \oplus V'$ be the canonical inclusions. Then $\omega$ equals the composition*

$$\mathfrak{M}V \times \mathfrak{M}V' \xrightarrow{\imath \times \imath'} \mathfrak{M}(V \oplus V') \times \mathfrak{M}(V \oplus V') \xrightarrow{\boxplus} \mathfrak{M}(V \oplus V')$$

The maps $\boxplus_x, \boxplus_y, \boxplus_\emptyset$ are open embeddings (see [12], proposition 4.5). Let $C = \mathfrak{M}_{I,2}V \setminus (A_x \cup A_y)$. We define $N_2 = \pi^*_{x,I} Im(\boxplus_x) \cup \pi^*_{y,I} Im(\boxplus_y) \cup C$.

**Proposition A.3.** *There is a homeomorphism $\boxplus : N'_x \times N'_y \to N_2$ which extends $\boxplus_x, \boxplus_y$.*

*Proof.* The proof follows the same lines as the proof of proposition 4.9 in [12]. We sketch the proof here, refering to [12] for more details. Let $\pi_{x,I} : \mathbb{P}^2_I \to \mathbb{P}^2_x$, $\pi_{\emptyset,y} : \mathbb{P}^2_y \to \mathbb{P}^2$ be the blowup at $y$, and let $\pi_{y,I} : \mathbb{P}^2_I \to \mathbb{P}^2_y$, $\pi_{\emptyset,x} : \mathbb{P}^2_x \to \mathbb{P}^2$ be the blowup at $x$. Taking the direct image of the bundles we get maps

$$\begin{aligned}
(\pi_{x,I})_* &: \mathfrak{M}_{I,2}V \to \bar{\mathfrak{M}}_{x,2}V & \qquad (\pi_{\emptyset,y})_* &: \mathfrak{M}_{y,1}V \to \bar{\mathfrak{M}}_{\emptyset,1}V \\
(\pi_{y,I})_* &: \mathfrak{M}_{I,2}V \to \bar{\mathfrak{M}}_{y,2}V & \qquad (\pi_{\emptyset,x})_* &: \mathfrak{M}_{x,1}V \to \bar{\mathfrak{M}}_{\emptyset,1}V
\end{aligned}$$

where the bar denotes the Donaldson-Uhlenbeck completion of the moduli space. Given $m_x \in N'_x$, $m_y \in N'_y$, we define $m_x \boxplus m_y$ as the only solution of the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned}
(\pi_{x,I})_*(m_x \boxplus m_y) &= m_x \boxplus_x (\pi_{\emptyset,y})_* m_y \\
(\pi_{y,I})_*(m_x \boxplus m_y) &= (\pi_{\emptyset,x})_* m_x \boxplus_y m_y
\end{aligned}$$

To check existance and uniqueness we let $S_0 N'_x = N'_x \setminus \pi^*_{\emptyset,x} N'_\emptyset$, $S_0 N'_y = N'_y \setminus \pi^*_{\emptyset,y} N'_\emptyset$ and observe that

$$N'_x \times N'_y = (N'_x \times \pi^*_{\emptyset,y} N'_\emptyset(y)) \cup (\pi^*_{\emptyset,x} N'_\emptyset(x) \times N'_y) \cup (S_0 N'_x \times S_0 N'_y)$$

Outside $S_0N'_x \times S_0N'_y$ we can solve the equations and get

$$m_x \boxplus \pi^*_{\emptyset,y} m = \pi^*_{x,I}(m_x \boxplus_x m) \qquad \pi^*_{\emptyset,x} m \boxplus m_y = \pi^*_{y,I}(m \boxplus_y m_y)$$

If $(m_x, m_y) \in S_0N'_x \times S_0N'_y$ then $(\pi_{x,I})_*(m_x \boxplus m_y) \in \bar{\mathfrak{M}}_{x,2}V$ is the ideal instanton determined by $x \in \mathfrak{M}_{x,1}V$ and a delta at $y$, and $(\pi_{y,I})_*(m_x \boxplus m_y) \in \bar{\mathfrak{M}}_{y,2}$ is the ideal instanton determined by $y \in \mathfrak{M}_{y,1}V$ and a delta at $x$. By proposition 4.3 in [12], this completely determines $m_x \boxplus m_y$. Continuity is proved exactly as in [12], proposition 4.9. $\square$

Finally we observe that the results of proposition A.2 extend to $\boxplus$ by continuity since $N'_\emptyset$ is dense in $N'_x$.

*Aknowledgements.* The author would like to thank Gustavo Granja for several fruitful discussions.

## References

1. M. Bryan, J. and Sanders, *The rank stable topology of instantons of* $\overline{\mathbf{cp}}^2$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), no. 12, 3763–3768.
2. N. Buchdahl, *Instantons on* $n\mathbf{cp}_2$, J. Differential Geom. **37** (1993), no. 3, 669–687.
3. ———, *Monads and bundles on rational surfaces*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **34** (2004), no. 2, 513–540.
4. S. Donaldson, *Instantons and geometric invariant theory*, Comm. Math. Phys. **93** (1984), no. 4, 453–460.
5. R. Hollender, J. and Vogt, *Modules of topological spaces, applications to homotopy limits and* $E_\infty$ *structures*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **59** (1992), no. 2, 115–129.
6. A. King, *Instantons and holomorphic bundles on the blown-up plane*, Ph.D. thesis, Worcester College, Oxford, 1989.
7. F. Kirwan, *Geometric invariant theory and the Atiyah-Jones conjecture*, The Sophus Lie Memorial Conference (Oslo, 1992), Scand. Univ. Press, Oslo, 1994, pp. 161–186.
8. M. Lübke, *The analytic moduli space of framed vector bundles*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **441** (1993), 45–59.
9. A. Matuschke, *On framed instanton bundles and their deformations*, Math. Nachr. **211** (2000), 109–126.
10. P. May, $E_\infty$ *ring spaces and* $E_\infty$ *ring spectra*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977, With contributions by Frank Quinn, Nigel Ray, and Jørgen Tornehave, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 577.
11. M. Sanders, *Classifying spaces and Dirac operators coupled to instantons*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **347** (1995), no. 10, 4037–4072.
12. J. Santos, *Framed holomorphic bundles on rational surfaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **589** (2005), 129–158.
13. ———, *Dirac operators coupled to instantons on positive definite four-manifolds*, Math. Z. (2007), to appear.
14. C. Taubes, *The stable topology of self-dual moduli spaces*, J. Differential Geom. **29** (1989), no. 1, 163–230.

Instituto Superior Técnico